2025 New York City Mayoral Election

Vote Intention for NYC Mayor | Approval, Evaluation and Image of Political Figures | Most Important Issues | Economic Perceptions





Summary

- 1. Methodology and sample profile
- 2. Results
 - 2.1. Vote intention: NYC Mayor
 - 2.2. Approval and evaluation of political leaders
 - 2.3. Image of political figures
 - 2.4. Most important issues and candidate comparisons
 - 2.5. Economic perceptions
 - 2.6. Midterm voting intentions
- 3. About AtlasIntel



1. Methodology and sample profile

Methodology and sample profile



Target population

Likely voters in the 2025 NYC Mayoral Elections

Sample size

1,587 respondents

Methodology

Random Digital Recruitment (Atlas RDR)

Margin of error

±3 p.p.

Confidence level for estimation of the margin of error **95%**

Polling Period

10/25/2025-10/30/2025

Gender		Age	
Female	52.7%	18 - 29	14.8%
Male	46.1%	30 - 44	31.5%
Other / prefer not to identify	1.3%	45 - 64	31.4%
		65+	22.2%
Yearly household income		Education Level	
Below \$50k	27%	College degree or higher	54.3%
\$50k - \$100k	29.1%	All other	45.7%
Above \$100k	43.9%		
Partisanship		Race	
Republican	21.2%	White	37.7%
Independent	23.3%	Hispanic	28.3%
Democrat	55.6%	Black	17%
		Asian	11.1%
		Other	5.9%


2. Results



2.1. Vote intention: NYC Mayor



If the 2025 NYC mayoral election were held today, who would you vote for provided these were the candidates:






			GENDER					AG	E					CATION				FAMILY	INCOME	
	Mar	n	Woman	Other / pref not to identi	5000	18 - 29	30 -	44	45 - 64	65+	С	ollege degree or higher	Some college	High school diploma	Less that school di		ROIOW * TIV	\$50k	- \$100k	Above \$100k
Zohran Mamdani (D)		51.5	31.7	14	.7	56.9		51.5	30.7	28.3	3	46.3	38.5	30.	2	37.3	40.5		30.6	47.3
Andrew Cuomo (I)		27.8	38.8	6	55	23.5		27.8	39.1	42.8	3	36.6	35.6	24.	5	49.1	30.3		37.1	34.3
Curtis Sliwa (R)		18.5	29.2	17	.6	19		17.9	29.4	28.8	3	15	25.7	44.	7	13.6	29.1		29.9	17.1
Don't know		1.1	0.4		0	0.3		1.3	0.7	0.1	1	1.2	0.1	0.	2	0	0	1	2.2	0.1
Would not vote		1	0		0	0		1.4	0	0	0	0.8	())	0	0		0	1
Other candidate		0.1	0	2	.7	0.2		0.1	0.1	0)	0.2	0.1	())	0	0		0.2	0.1

			RA	CE				PARTISANSHIP		VOTE II	N THE 2021 NYC	MAYORAL ELE	CTION
	Asian	Black	Hispanic	Native - American	White	Multi - racial	Democrat	Republican	Independent	Eric Adams	Curtis Sliwa	Other	Didn't vote
Zohran Mamdani (D)	49.9	50.8	40.5	9.2	33.7	40.9	59.4	4.3	28.8	44.8	2.5	84.6	62.8
Andrew Cuomo (I)	25.4	37.8	28.2	77.9	40	25.7	33.4	29.1	39.9	46.1	20.9	10.1	16.6
Curtis Sliwa (R)	20.6	8.9	31.2	12.9	25.8	30.4	6	66.3	28.9	7.2	76.4	4.9	20.5
Don't know	0	2.4	0	0	0.3	2.9	0.3	0.3	1.9	1.1	0.3	0	0.1
Would not vote	4.1	0	0	0	0	0	0.8	0	0	0.8	0	0	0
Other candidate	0	0	0.1	0	0.2	0	0	0	0.4	0.1	0	0.4	0

		VOTE IN THE 20	24 PRESIDENT	IAL ELECTION	
	Donald Trump	Kamala Harris	Other candidate	Didn't vote	Don't remember
Zohran Mamdani (D)	0.4	59.7	69.8	34.1	8.1
Andrew Cuomo (I)	34.3	34.3	6.9	42	6.5
Curtis Sliwa (R)	65.1	4.2	21.4	23.4	85.4
Don't know	0.3	1	0	0	0
Would not vote	0	0.8	0	0	0
Other candidate	0	0	1.8	0.4	0



If the 2025 NYC mayoral election were held today and Eric Adams were still in the race, who would you vote for provided these were the candidates:






			GENDER				Α	GE						ATION			FAMILY INCOM	IE
	М	an	Woman	Other / prefer	18.	- 29	30 - 44	45 - 64		65+	Colle or	ge degree higher	Some college	High schoo diploma	Less than high school diploma	REIOW STILL	\$50k - \$100k	Above \$100k
Zohran Mamdani (D)		51.3	31.3	14.7		56.9	51.3	30	3	27.8		45.8	38.5	30	1 37.3	40.	5 30.2	46.9
Andrew Cuomo (I)		20.9	30.8	50.3		17.9	19.4	30	8	35.9		28.6	30.9	1	8 28.1	24.	5 30.8	24.8
Curtis Sliwa (R)		18.7	29	12		17.5	17.2	31	3	28		14.8	25.1	45	6 13.6	32.	7 27.6	16.4
Eric Adams (I)		7	7.6	20.3		7.2	9.2	6	8	6.1		8.7	5.4	1	4 21	2.	2 7.5	10.6
Don't know		1	0.4	0		0.3	1.3	0	7	0	1	1.1	0.1	0	2 0		0 2.2	0.1
Other candidate		0.2	0.9	2.7		0.2	0.1		0	2.2		0.2	0	1	2 0		0 1.8	0.1
Would not vote		1	0	0		0	1.4	0	1	0		0.8	0.1		0 0	0.	1 (1

			RA	CE				PARTISANSHIP		VOTE II	N THE 2021 NYC	MAYORAL ELE	CTION
	Asian	Black	Hispanic	Native - American	White	Multi - racial	Democrat	Republican	Independent	Eric Adams	Curtis Sliwa	Other	Didn't vote
Zohran Mamdani (D)	49.9	50.8	40.5	9.2	33	39.8	59	4.3	28.5	44.3	2.5	83.9	62.8
Andrew Cuomo (I)	17.3	33	21.1	75	31.2	16.2	30.9	16.9	24.5	36.9	14.8	10	9.1
Curtis Sliwa (R)	19.6	8.6	32.8	12.9	25	30.1	5.8	65.4	30	6.2	7 8.5	5.6	20.4
Eric Adams (I)	9.1	5.1	5.5	2.9	9	10.9	3.1	13	12.8	10.6	1.8	0.1	7.6
Don't know	0	2.4	0	0	0.3	2.9	0.3	0.3	1.9	1	0.3	0	0.1
Other candidate	0	0	0.1	0	1.4	0	0	0.1	2.3	0.1	2.1	0.4	C
Would not vote	4.1	0	0	0	0.1	0	0.8	0.1	0	0.8	0.1	0	C

		VOTE IN THE 20	24 PRESIDENT	IAL ELECTION	
	Donald Trump	Kamala Harris	Other candidate	Didn't vote	Don't remember
Zohran Mamdani (D)	0.2	59.4	69.6	33.2	8.1
Andrew Cuomo (I)	22.5	31.3	5.7	13.4	5.1
Curtis Sliwa (R)	66.1	3.5	21.4	24.4	85.4
Eric Adams (I)	10.8	3.2	1.9	28.6	1.5
Don't know	0.3	1	0	0	0
Other candidate	0.1	0.8	1.3	0.4	0
Would not vote	0.1	0.8	0	0	C



If the only two candidates in the NYC mayoral race were Zohran Mamdani and Andrew Cuomo, whom would you vote for?





			GENDER					AG	E						ΓΙΟΝ			FAMILY INCOM	E
	М	an	Woman	Other / prefer	18	- 29	30 - 4	14	45 - 64	65+	Colleg or h	e degree higher	Some colleg	ge	High school diploma	Less than high school diploma	Below \$50k	\$50k - \$100k	Above \$100k
Andrew Cuomo		40.5	58.1	73.4		37.1		37.9	61.3	60.8		46.6	52	2.8	56.5	49.1	49.3	55.3	47.4
Zohran Mamdani		53.2	32.8	14.7		56.2		52.2	32.2	31.8		47.5	39	8.9	32.2	37.3	42.8	31.5	48.4
Would not vote	1	5.2	8		1	4.5		8.5	6	7		4.7	7	7.3	9.8	13.6	6.8	10.9	4.1
Don't know	1	1	1	0.8	1	2.2		1.4	0.6	0.4		1.2	0).1	1.6	0	1.2	2 2.2	0.2

			RAC	CE				PARTISANSHIP		VOTE II	N THE 2021 NYC	MAYORAL ELE	CTION
	Asian	Black	Hispanic	Native - American	White	Multi - racial	Democrat	Republican	Independent	Eric Adams	Curtis Sliwa	Other	Didn't vote
Andrew Cuomo	43.8	43.2	48.5	7 8.5	57.9	39.2	38.1	73.5	57.9	54.1	63.6	13.8	30.4
Zohran Mamdani	54.4	50.8	42.3	9.2	33.6	46.8	60.2	4.7	32.2	44.5	8.8	84.5	63.5
Would not vote	1.8	3.5	9.1	12.2	7.3	10.8	0.7	21.4	7.8	0.3	25.8	1.6	6
Don't know	0	2.4	0	0	1.2	3.1	0.9	0.4	2	1.1	1.7	0	0.1


		VOTE IN THE 2	024 PRESIDENT	IAL ELECTION	
	Donald Trump	Kamala Harris	Other candidate	Didn't vote	Don't remember
Andrew Cuomo	76.8	37.6	23.5	58.3	28.4
Zohran Mamdani	0.4	61.1	69.8	40.5	9.6
Would not vote	21.4	0.3	5.4	1.2	62
Don't know	1.4	1	1.3	0	(



2.2. Approval and evaluation of political leaders




Do you approve or disapprove of the performances of the following leaders?






Thinking back to when Andrew Cuomo served as governor of New York, do you approve or disapprove of his performance during that time?






How do you evaluate the government of the following leaders?





How would you qualify Mayor Eric Adam's handling of the following issues in NYC?






2.3. Image of political figures



Do you have a positive or negative image of the following politicians?






2.4. Most important issues and candidate comparisons



Which do you think are the most important issues facing New York City today? (Select up to three options)





			GENDER			AC	SE .			EDUC	ATION			FAMILY INCOM	E
	Man		Woman	Other / prefer not to identify	18 - 29	30 - 44	45 - 64	65+	College degree or higher	Some college	High school diploma	Less than high school diploma		\$50k - \$100k	Above \$100k
Inflation/cost of living	55	.8	53.9	72.5	64.6	65.3	43.5	50.2	58.3	55.5	50.2	37.3	54.2	52.9	56.9
Crime	46	.6	54.7	80.8	41.3	46.4	55.3	59.3	45.5	51.5	64.1	51.5	46.6	60.9	47.8
Housing affordability	51	.3	45.2	9.4	43.3	54.8	38.3	53.2	52.5	55	32.8	37.3	53.5	48.4	43.3
Immigration	19	.5	25.4	25.6	31.5	12.8	29.7	20.8	15.7	23.5	33.4	49.1	25.5	26.2	18.5
Healthcare	15	.4	19.4	59.9	8.6	16.6	22.2	20.8	17.3	20.4	20.2	5	28.4	21.3	9.7
Education	17	.9	15.7	9.6	13	16.5	17.3	18.4	16.7	17.1	16.2	16.2	18.5	11.5	18.9
Public transportation	18	8.8	13.3	2.6	24.8	20.9	11	8.7	17.9	15.3	10.4	19.6	9.4	18	18
Homelessness	12	.4	16.8	20.4	11.6	11.3	15.5	20.9	18.1	6.4	14	11.8	16.7	17	12.1
NYC economy and job market	12	.1	13.8	0.2	16.4	13.1	10.5	13.4	13.1	16.8	7.7	23	10.1	8.7	17.2
Corruption	16	.3	8.7	6.5	13.4	11.4	15.2	8.1	10.7	9.6	17.2	11.2	14.7	10.8	11.4
City cleanliness	5	.5	8.4	5.6	5.8	4.5	9.6	7.8	5.8	10.1	5.4	21	3.3	5.4	10.4
Availability of safety net social services	2	.6	9	3.2	2.1	8.4	6.2	4.8	8.8	5.1	1.3	0	3.5	4.9	8.2
Pedestrian safety	6	.4	4.4	1.5	6.3	6.8	4.1	4.1	4.9	0.5	10.5	0	4.3	2.6	7.7
Traffic congestion		5	1.9	1.3	1.8	2	6.9	1.2	2.4	6.8	3.6	0	0.2	3.7	5.1
Condition and maintenance of public spaces	1 0	.9	1.9	o	1.4	2.3	1.4	0.2	2.5	0.2	C	0	0	0.3] 3
Environmental and noise pollution	1 0	.9	0	0.5	1	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.6	0.6	0.2	0	0.9	0.5	0.1


			RAG	CE				PARTISANSHIP		VOTE	N THE 2021 NYC	MAYORAL ELE	CTION
	Asian	Black	Hispanic	Native - American	White	Multi - racial	Democrat	Republican	Independent	Eric Adams	Curtis Sliwa	Other	Didn't vote
Inflation/cost of living	70.8	53.7	53.6	87.3	53.1	45	60.5	39.9	55.4	60.4	37.9	51.3	63.4
Crime	43.5	46.3	55.1	87.3	54.6	37.9	36.6	80.5	59.8	48.2	80.3	18.7	33.4
Housing affordability	38.9	57.6	54.1	13.7	40.2	53.3	59.5	20	44	53.1	20.8	7 7.1	51.3
Immigration	19.4	11.4	23.2	9.9	27.7	27.3	10.5	44.1	32.2	15.4	46.7	4.7	24.2
Healthcare	15	27.8	15.4	9.8	15.9	24	23.4	10.2	12.7	20.3	8.6	36	13.8
Education	19.1	14.6	19.3	84.5	14.6	13.6	13.7	20.2	20.6	12.4	23.2	26.8	18.1
Public transportation	23.6	10.6	17.4	0.2	13.9	19	16.4	8.4	20.6	17	11.3	14.1	18.2
Homelessness	4.3	12.3	15.4	0	16.7	28.2	16.8	11.1	13.4	16.5	14.5	8	11.4
NYC economy and job market	10.8	16.3	10.3	2.9	13.2	17.6	12.5	11.2	15.1	14	8.3	22.9	9.3
Corruption	15.4	11.1	17.8	1.6	8.1	7.7	13.2	14.4	7.5	7.5	16.2	20	21.7
City cleanliness	0.8	13.8	4	0	8.3	5.7	6.3	13.3	3.1	6.1	10.9	1.6	7.6
Availability of safety net social services	3.9	5.3	3.3	0	9.2	4	9.7	0.4	2.2	7.7	0	9.1	6.8
Pedestrian safety	9.7	1.2	2.2	0	7.8	7.8	5.2	8.6	2.6	5.1	5.8	3.6	6.2
Traffic congestion	7.4	3.9	1.8	0	3	4.2	3.8	2.5	3.1	3.9	4.1	0.2	1.2
Condition and maintenance of public spaces	0.7	0	1.1	2.9	2.7	О	1.9	0.8	0.7	2.1	0.3	0.1	0.9
Environmental and noise pollution	0	0.5	0.1	0	0.7	0.8	0.3	1	0.2	0.3	0.6	1.6	0.2



		VOTE IN THE 2	024 PRESIDENT	IAL ELECTION	
	Donald Trump	Kamala Harris	Other candidate	Didn't vote	Don't remember
Inflation/cost of living	36.7	62.2	59.3	66.5	23.6
Crime	80.8	37.7	30.3	52.6	77
Housing affordability	16.7	63.9	71.3	30.1	3.2
Immigration	51.8	8.5	2.6	29.8	33.2
Healthcare	9.3	21.9	22.2	19.8	38.3
Education	22.7	14.6	12.2	12.3	1.8
Public transportation	7.2	19.6	36.2	9.9	0
Homelessness	14.7	15.2	9.6	13.7	17.2
NYC economy and job market	11.6	13.6	20.2	9.3	1.1
Corruption	13.2	11.4	17.1	11.7	42.2
City cleanliness	9.4	4.9	0.3	15.4	42.2
Availability of safety net social services	0.3	8.6	4.6	7.4	0
Pedestrian safety	6.6	4.2	9.5	7.2	0
Traffic congestion	1.9	2.7	0.2	14.3	0
Condition and maintenance of public spaces	0.7	1.9	2.7	0	5.2
Environmental and noise pollution	0.3	0.5	1.6	0	0.5



In your opinion, what should be the next NYC's Mayor stance regarding Israel?





		GENDER		AGE							
	Man	Woman	Other / prefer not to identify	18 - 29	30 - 44	45 - 64	65+				
Should not have any pre-conceived stances on Israel	41.9	45	11.2	34.4	42.5	38.7	56.3				
Should have a pro-Israel stance	27.8	32.1	72.5	25.2	23.5	37.1	35.4				
Should openly oppose Israel	24	13.5	11.8	32.1	29.3	10.7	4.2				
Don't know	6.3	9.4	4.5	8.2	4.7	13.6	4.2				

		EDUC	ATION			FAMILY INCOME		
	College degree or higher	Some college	High school diploma	Less than high school diploma	Below \$50k	\$50k - \$100k	Above \$100k	
Should not have any pre-conceived stances on Israel	40.8	65.6	30.9	50.9	40.9	47.7	41.6	
Should have a pro-Israel stance	29.9	16.9	39.4	49.1	28.8	32.7	30.4	
Should openly oppose Israel	23.7	7.7	16.9	0	17.6	13.3	22	
Don't know	5.7	9.8	12.7	0	12.7	6.3	14.00	

			RAG		PARTISANSHIP						
	Asian	Black	Hispanic	Native - American	White	Multi - racial	Democrat	Republican	Independent		
Should not have any pre-conceived stances on Israel	29.5	62.2	39.1	14.1	42	42.7	47.3	29.9	45.2		
Should have a pro-Israel stance	22.8	12	36.2	84.1	37	28.8	19.1	55.1	36.1		
Should openly oppose Israel	39	15.8	20.3	1	12.8	13.2	27.6	0.4	12.3		
Don't know	8.8	10	4.4	0.8	8.3	15.3	6	14.6	6.4		


	٧	OTE IN THE 2021 NYC M	AYORAL ELECTION		VOTE IN THE 2024 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION								
	Eric Adams	Curtis Sliwa	Other	Didn't vote	Donald Trump	Kamala Harris	Other candidate	Didn't vote	Don't remember				
Should not have any pre-conceived stances on Israel	49.6	33.3	36.8	34.8	30.8	52.1	30.8	26.5	47.6				
Should have a pro-Israel stance	26.5	55.9	10.3	17.2	58.2	17.2	17.9	36.5	6.9				
Should openly oppose Israel	16.4	1.9	51.9	35.9	1.6	23.4	49.4	29	1.3				
Don't know	7.5		1.1	12.2	9.4	7.3	1.9	8.1	44.2				



2.5. Economic perceptions




How do you evaluate the NYC economy and job market <u>right now</u>? And how do you evaluate your family's economic situation?





What is your expectation regarding the NYC economy and job market <u>six months from now?</u> What is your expectation regarding your family's situation?





About AtlasIntel



With an average error of 0.3pp,
AtlasIntel was the most accurate
pollster of the 2024 election, both
at the national level and in swing
states. It was also the only pollster
to correctly indicate the winner of
the presidential race in each state.



ace	Final result	Atlas Polls	Error
lational	Trump +1.5	Trump +1.2	0.3
levada	Trump +3.1	Trump +3.1	0.0
Visconsin	Trump +0.9	Trump +0.9	0.0
1ichigan	Trump +1.4	Trump +1.5	0.1
1ontana	Trump +19.9	Trump +20.2	0.3
'irginia	Harris +5.8	Harris +5.4	0.4
rizona	Trump +5.5	Trump +5.1	0.4
Seorgia	Trump +2.2	Trump +1.6	0.6
ennsylvania	Trump +1.7	Trump +1.0	0.7
lorth Carolina	Trump +3.2	Trump +2.1	1.1
1innesota	Harris +4.2	Harris +2.0	2.2
Phio	Trump +11.2	Trump +8.5	2.7
exas	Trump +13.6	Trump +10.6	3.0



AtlasIntel was also the most accurate pollster of the 2020 presidential election.

In 2020, Atlas polls had an average error of 2pp. They anticipated election results within the margin of error in all swing states. 2020 was the worst cycle for the US polling industry in four decades.*



Replying to @NateSilver538


Finally, here's how the most prolific pollsters fared in the general election.

The best-performing pollster was AtlasIntel!

Second was Trafalgar!

Yeah, they incorrectly had Trump winning a few states, but they were close on the margins, and that's the better metric.

AtlasIntel was 2020's m	ost accui	rate polls	ter	
Average error of polls in final 2 pollsters that conducted at lea		ore the 202	0 general el	ection, for
POLLSTER	NO. POLLS	RACES CALLED CORRECTLY	STATISTICAL BIAS	AVG. ERROR
Swayable	68	93%	+5.1	+5.8
SurveyMonkey	58	97	+3.8	+4.7
Morning Consult	54	80	+4.6	+4.8
Change Research	44	69	+5.7	+6.1
Data for Progress	42	75	+5.0	+5.0
YouGov	37	82	+5.3	+5.3
Emerson College	36	58	+3.0	+4.1
Ipsos	32	73	+4.6	+4.6
Public Policy Polling	31	63	+7.2	+7.2
Trafalgar Group	28	50	-2.1	+2.6
Siena College/NYT Upshot	25	76	+5.5	+5.5
RMG Research	25	80	+6.0	+6.0
Civiqs	22	73	+5.3	+5.6
Gravis Marketing	21	90	+4.8	+4.8
SurveyUSA	17	79	+2.4	+4.6
Rasmussen Reports/POR	17	68	+1.0	+2.8
Opinion Savvy/InsiderAdvantage	15	47	+0.8	+3.5
Research Co.	14	96	+5.2	+5.2
AtlasIntel	14	71	+1.0	+2.2
Harris Insights & Analytics	13	81	+3.2	+3.3
SSRS	12	75	+7.1	+7.1



*AAPOR, 2020 Pre-Election Polling: An Evaluation of the 2020 General Election Polls 31



AtlasIntel has an A+ rating and is the No. 1 pollster in the US, according to the latest pollster ranking released in 2025 by Nate Silver.

2025 US Pollster Ratings

Silver Bulletin | Nate Silver

Rank 2025	Year Change	Pollster	Grade	Partisan Bias	Predictive Plus-Minus
1	^ 7	AtlasIntel	A+	D +0.2	-1.0
3	1-1	The Washington Post	A+	D +0.9	-0.9
5	▼3	The New York Times/Siena College	Α	D+1.4	-0.8
7	▼ 2	SurveyUSA	Α	D +0.1	-0.7
13	1	Emerson College	A-	D +0.6	-0.6
15	▼ 3	Monmouth University	A-	D +2.0	-0.5
19	▼ 9	Marist College	A-	D +0.5	-0.4
21	▼ 2	Public Policy Polling	A-	D +0.9	-0.4
25	▼ 1	Mason-Dixon Polling & Strategy	A-	R +0.5	-0.3
27	^ 1	Suffolk University	A-	D +0.9	-0.3
30	▼ 5	Quinnipiac University	B+	D +0.6	-0.3
39	^ 28	Trafalgar Group	B+	R +1.8	-0.2
56	▼ 10	Gallup	B+	R +0.6	-0.1
72	^ 54	InsiderAdvantage	В	D +0.4	0.0
77	▼ 39	YouGov	В	D +1.1	0.0
82	<u>^</u> 2	Rasmussen Reports	В	R+1.4	0.1
106	▼ 6	Gravis Marketing/Kaplan Strategies	В	D +1.3	0.2
107	▼ 33	Harris Insights & Analytics	В	R+1.0	0.2
123	▼33	Data for Progress	В	D +1.6	0.3
162	▼ 31	Big Village	B-	D +0.2	0.4
166	125	University of New Hampshire	B-	D +2.0	0.4
171	▼ 27	Ipsos	B-	D +1.9	0.4
203	▼ 9	Change Research	B-	D +2.7	0.5
211	▼ 22	Zogby Analytics	B-	R +0.6	0.6
225	▼ 79	Morning Consult	B-	D +3.4	0.6
417	▼ 11	American Research Group	C+	D +0.1	0.8
485	▼ 21	SurveyMonkey	С	D +4.6	1.0

The table above includes all pollsters with a track record based on at least 90 polls. For the full list and ratings methodology please see: https://www.natesilver.net/p/pollster-ratings-silver-bulletin







According to the New York Times, AtlasIntel is one the most reliable pollsters in the United States.

The latest polls

Every poll here influences the averages above. Polls from "select pollsters," which meet <u>certain criteria for reliability</u>, count for more. You can filter by state, toggle to show only select pollsters, and choose head-to-head or three-way matchups.





Polls by "select pollsters" are shown with a diamond. These pollsters have backgrounds that tend to mean they are more reliable. Also, polls that were conducted by or for partisan organizations are labeled, as they often release only results that are favorable to their cause.




AtlasIntel was the most accurate pollster of the last three election cycles in Argentina (presidential elections, legislative primaries, and legislative elections).

In each of the three election cycles, AtlasIntel had the lowest polling error. In 2023, AtlasIntel was the <u>only</u> <u>pollster</u> to correctly indicate the winners of both the 1st Round (Sergio Massa) and the runoff (Javier Milei).



2019 Argentina Presidential Election Polls



2019 Atlas Tracking ProTime series



AtlasIntel was the most accurate pollster of the 2022 presidential election in Brazil.

		1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
	2/10	1/10		1/10)	30/0	9	29/0	9	1/1)	30/0	9	1/10)	29/0	9	29/0	9
		AtlasIn	tel	MDA	A.	Paraná Pe	squisas	Ideia Big	Data	Ipe	2	Verit	á	Datafo	lha	Futur	a	Brasma	rket
	Result	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error
Lula	48.4	50.3	1.9	48.3	0.1	47.2	1.2	48.9	0.4	51	2.6	41.6	6.8	50	1.6	43.6	4.8	34.7	13.7
Jair Bolsonaro	43.2	41.1	2.1	39.7	3.5	40.1	3.1	38.5	4.7	37	6.6	44.6	1.4	36	7.2	40.5	2.7	51.0	7.8
Simone Tebet	4.2	2.7	1.5	4.7	0.5	6.2	2.0	6.2	2.1	5	1.2	4.2	0.0	6	1.8	7.7	3.5	5.8	1.6
Ciro Gomes	3.0	4.0	1.0	4.9	1.9	5.3	2.3	5.2	2.2	5	2.4	4.1	1.1	5	2.0	6.0	3.0	7.0	4.0
Outros	1.2	1.9	0.7	2.4	1.2	1.2	0.0	1.2	0.1	2	0.9	5.5	4.3	2	0.8	2.2	1.0	1.5	0.3
Average error			1.4		1.5		1.7		1.9		2.7		2.7		2.7	7	3.0		5.5



AtlasIntel was the most accurate pollster of the 2022 Constitutional Plebiscite in Chile

Public and private AtlasIntel polls accurately captured the dynamics of voter mobilization and came closer to the final vote count than any competitor.

AtlasIntel public releases both before and after the start of the poll blackout period indicated that slightly more than 58% of Chilean voters would vote to reject the new constitution; with 61,8% of votes cast against the new constitutional text, AtlasIntel came closest to the final outcome regardless of whether one considers polls released before or during the blackout period.

Ranking of pollster accuracy

CHILE 2022 - Constitutional plebiscite

Last public poll released prior to the start of the publication blackout period (likely voters)

		1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	1
	9/4	8/19		8/19	9	8/19	9	8/1		8/1	9	8/19		8/7		8/19	9	8/2	
		AtlasIn	tel	Black & V	White	UDE)	Crite	ia	Cade	m	Activa Pulso Ciud		Data Inf	luye	Signo: SmartAna		MORI /	FIEL
	Result	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error
Approve	38,14	41,6	3,5	42,0	3,9	44,3	6,2	44,4	6,3	45,0	6,9	46,5	8,4	47,3	9,2	48,1	10,0	48,4	10,3
Reject	61,86	58,4	3,5	58,0	3,9	55,7	6,2	55,6	6,3	55,0	6,9	53,5	8,4	52,7	9,2	51,9	10,0	51,6	10,3
Average error			3,5		3,9		6,2		6,3		6,9		8,4		9,2	2	10,0		10,3

Last public poll released after the start of the publication blackout period (likely voters)

		1		2		3		4	
	9/4	9/3	5	9/3		8/29)	9/2	į.
		AtlasIn	tel	Black & V	Vhite	Activa P Ciudad		Cade	m
	Result	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error
Approve	38,14	41,9	3,8	45,0	6,9	45,1	7,0	47,0	8,9
Reject	61,86	58,1	3,8	55,0	6,9	54,9	7,0	53,0	8,9
Average error			3,8		6,9		7,0		8,9



AtlasIntel was the most accurate pollster of the 2022 presidential race in Colombia, considering polling accuracy during the primaries, the 1st round of voting, and the runoff.

•		1		2		3		4		5		6	
				3-2		3				,		,	
		AtlasInte	The second second	AtlasInt		607		2000		SECULTATION OF		NAME AND ADDRESS OF	
		(Atlas Trackin	g PRO)	(Public po	lls)	Invame	r	Guarum	0	CNC		YanHaa	S
	Result	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error
Primaries													
Result by coalition													
Pacto Histórico	47.1	48.8	1.7	50.6	3.5	53.1	6.0	38.4	8.7	41.7	5.4	48.3	1.2
Equipo por Colombia	33.7	29.9	3.8	28.2	5.5	26.7	7.0	37.5	20000000	27.8		21.7	12.0
Centro Esperanza	19.1	21.3	2.2	21.2	2.1	20.3		24.1	5.0	30.6	11.5	30.0	10.9
Pacto Histórico													
Gustavo Petro	80.5	87.5	7.0	85.2	4.7	78.6	1.9	81.6	1.1	78.6	1.9	87.8	7.3
Francia Márquez	14.0		7.2	6.2		13.5		10.5		12.2		19.5	5.5
Camilo Romero	4.1	3.3	0.8	3.9	-	5.9	O CONTRACTOR	5.9		4.1	A CHARLES AND A	9.8	5.7
								s		3			7
Equipo por Colombia													
Fico Gutiérrez	54.2	55.7	1.5	53.4	0.8	28.9	25.3	33.4	20.8	32.6	21.6	36.6	17.6
Álex Char	17.7	22.5	4.8	23.1	5.4	24.7	7.0	29.2	11.5	32.6	14.9	24.4	6.7
David Barguil	15.8	13.3	2.5	16.0	0.2	15.5	0.3	21.4	5.6	6.5	9.3	9.8	6.0
Aydeé Lizarazo	6.5	1.2	5.3	2.0		12.3	5.8	4.2	2.3	5.4	1.1	7.3	0.8
Enrique Peñalosa	5.8	7.3	1.5	5.6	0.2	18.7	12.9	11.8	6.0	22.8	17.0	22.0	16.2
Centro Esperanza													
Sergio Fajardo	33.5	48.2	14.7	49.6	16.1	37.8	4.3	37.5	4.0	41.5	8.0	47.4	13.9
Juan Manuel Galán	22.5		3.8	14.9		23.9	9	22.1	60	33.0		31.6	9.1
Carlos Amaya	20.9	09900 190	9.3	10.9	10.0	14.9		10.4	The second second	8.5	22-22-2	5.3	15.6
Alejandro Gaviria	15.6		0.1	19.0	3.4	12.9		21.5	7	11.7		7.9	7.7
Jorge Enrique Robledo	7.4	6.0	1.4	5.5	1.9	10.5	3.1	8.5	1.1	5.3	2.1	7.9	0.5
FIRST ROUND										2			
Gustavo Petro	40.3	38.2	2.1	41.2	0.9	40.5	0.2	38.8	1.5	39.7	0.6	42.6	2.3
Rodolfo Hernández	28.2		3.7	22.1	-	20.9	1000	20.8	V ACCOUNT	21.2		12.8	15.4
Fico Gutiérrez	23.9		1.3	27.5		27.1	3.2	31.6		23.1		22.3	1.6
Sergio Fajardo	4.2		1075000	9.1		5.1		4.4	The state of the s	4.4		7.4	3.2
								5					
SECOND ROUND												1	
Gustavo Petro	50.4		1.8		-	47.2		46.5		50.5		48.4	2.0
Rodolfo Hernández	47.3		1.6			48.2	C. CONTRACTOR	48.2	The second	46.1		37.6	9.7
Blank votes	2.2	2.5	0.2	2.4	0.1	4.7	2.4	5.3	3.0	3.4	1.1	14.0	11.7
Average error			3.6		4.1		4.6		5.1		6.0		7.9



AtlasIntel was the only pollster to correctly anticipate the deadlock resulting from the 2023 legislative election in Spain

With an error of less than a tenth of a percentage point, AtlasIntel was the only pollster to correctly estimate the difference between the PP and the PSOE as well as the difference between the ideological left-right blocks. The surprising result resulted in a legislative deadlock.

El Confidencial

La única encuesta que ha clavado los resultados del 23-J se hizo en São Paulo: "Era un experimento"

En un contexto en el que las casas de encuestas están siendo criticadas por sobreestimar a la derecha, a Atlas Intel le bastó un único sondeo el 17 de julio para acertar más que ninguna



Roman (izquierda, camisa gris), junto al equipo de Atlas Intel que ha realizado la encuesta desde Brasil. (Cedida)

23 July Elections in Spain: Comparison of Results vs. Poll Estimates

		0	E	200201	
		PP	PS0E	PP vs. PSOE margin	Poll error
	Final Result	1.1	TOOL	No. 10. 114 March Colored	
	23-Jul	33.1	31.7	1.4	
1	AtlasIntel	55.1	01.7	1101	
	17-Jul	30.8	29.4	1.4	0.05
2	Simple Lógica/elDiario.es				
	17-Jul	32.2	28.6	3.6	2.25
3	YouGov	200		1979	
	17-Jul	32.0	28.0	4.0	2.65
4	CIS	20.0	32.2	1 /	0.75
_	17-Jul GESOP/The Adelaide Review	30.8	32.2	-1.4	2.75
5	21-Jul	32.7	28.5	4.2	2.85
5	40dB/Prisa	02.7	20.0	7.2	2.00
	17-Jul	32.9	28.7	4.2	2.85
7	Cluster17/El Grand Continent				
	15-Jul	33.6	28.9	4.7	3.35
7	IMOP/El Confidencial		2000	(= 1	
	15-Jul	32.9	28.2	4.7	3.35
9	SocioMétrica/El Español	33.5	28.3	5.2	3.85
10	17-Jul Sondaxe /La Voz de Galicia	33.5	28.3	5.2	3.85
10	17-Jul	34.3	28.7	5.6	4.25
11	ElectoPanel/Electomanía	54.5	20.7	0.0	4.20
11	17-Jul	34.5	28.8	5.7	4.35
12	KeyData/Público				To a second
	17-Jul	34.7	28.0	6.7	5.35
13	InvyMark/laSexta				
	15-Jul	33.5	26.3	7.2	5.85
14	Sigma Dos/El Mundo	25.4	00.0	7.4	C 05
4 -	17-Jul GAD3/ABC	35.4	28.0	7.4	6.05
15	17-Jul	36.9	28.6	8.3	6.95
15	Target Point/El Debate	00.7	20.0	0.0	0.50
	17-Jul	36.4	28.1	8.3	6.95
17	Data10/OKDiario				
	17-Jul	36.0	27.5	8.5	7.15
18	NC Report/La Razón	Contract Name			
	17-Jul	37.2	28.3	8.9	7.55



Geographic Coverage

1. THE AMERICAS

Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Canada, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, El Salvador, Guatemala, Haiti, Honduras, Mexico, Nicaragua, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, United States, Uruguay, Venezuela.

2. EUROPE & MIDDLE EAST

Austria, Belgium, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Bulgaria, Croatia, Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Israel, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey, Ukraine, United Kingdom.

3. AFRICA


Angola, Egypt, Mozambique, Nigeria, South Africa.

4. ASIA & OCEANIA

Australia, Bangladesh, India, Indonesia, Japan, Malaysia, New Zealand, Pakistan, Philippines, Thailand.

AtlasIntel's online data collection solution and sample calibration methodology allows coverage of any country in the Americas and in Europe with more than 3 million inhabitants, provided that its work is not restricted by legal restrictions and Internet blockages. In Africa and Asia, AtlasIntel provides coverage for a group of larger nations; the addition of additional countries can be explored on a case by case basis.

Countries where AtlasIntel has already conducted surveys for other institutional clients are highlighted in the list above.





AtlasIntel

www.atlasintel.org info@atlasintel.org