



September 5<sup>th</sup>, 2025

TO: Common Cause

FROM: Mike Noble, Founder & CEO at Noble Predictive Insights

RE: Common Cause Redistricting Poll – KEY FINDINGS

---

**Key Takeaway: Most U.S. voters see fair voting maps as vital to democracy, support independent commissions, oppose mid-decade redistricting, and are split on how states should respond to other states' partisan gerrymandering.**

### **Executive Summary:**

- 1) 84%** of U.S. registered voters say it is important that the way voting district boundaries are drawn is important to the overall health of democracy in the United States.
- 2)** A plurality of voters (**39%**) trusts a bipartisan independent commission most to decide how voting district boundaries are drawn.
- 3)** Over two-thirds (**68%**) of voters say it is bad for the country when one political party controls how voting districts are drawn.
- 4)** Voters are split on the best approach for drawing voting districts, with a narrow preference for drawing them to ensure fair competition between parties (**33%**).
- 5)** Over three-in-four voters support a boundary-drawing process that puts community interests ahead of political advantage (**78%**), and having independent commissions draw electoral districts (**77%**).
- 6)** Three-in-five (**60%**) voters oppose allowing political parties to engage in mid-decade redistricting.
- 7)** A narrow plurality of voters (**46%**) support states responding in kind if another state changes its boundaries to give a political party an advantage (i.e., "counterbalancing").
- 8)** A narrow plurality of voters (**44%**) opposes state lawmakers leaving the state to prevent a vote when they disagree with proposed changes to district boundaries.



Mike Noble, Founder & CEO  
5310 E High St Suite 340 Phoenix, AZ 85054  
C: 480-313-1837 [m.noble@npredictive.com](mailto:m.noble@npredictive.com)  
[www.noblepredictiveinsights.com](http://www.noblepredictiveinsights.com)

## Main Findings:

**1) 84% of voters say it is important that the way voting district boundaries are drawn is important to the overall health of democracy in the United States.** This is a consistent view across party lines, with 90% of Democrats, 85% of Republicans, and 79% of independents saying it is important.

- At least 83% of voters in CA, FL, IL, NY, and TX say it's very or something important.

	US	CA	FL	IL	NY	TX
Very + Somewhat important	<b>84%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>84%</b>
Not very + Not at all important	8%	5%	6%	5%	7%	6%
<b>NET IMPORTANT</b>	+76	+79	+78	+78	+80	+78

Q: How important do you think the way voting district boundaries are drawn is to the overall health of democracy in the United States?

**2) A plurality of voters (39%) trusts a bipartisan independent commission most to decide how voting district boundaries are drawn.** Independent commissions are the most trusted group among Democrats (41%), independents (40%), and Republicans (34%). About one-in-four voters do not trust any group to do this fairly.

- Independent commissions receive plurality support among CA, FL, IL, NY, and TX voters.

	US	CA	FL	IL	NY	TX
An independent commission with members from different political parties	<b>39%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>27%</b>
State legislators from both major parties are working together	17%	16%	20%	15%	8%	22%
State legislators from your political party	8%	22%	11%	6%	25%	23%
Don't trust any group to do this fairly	24%	13%	23%	25%	17%	13%
Not sure / No opinion	12%	10%	12%	16%	13%	15%

Q: Who do you trust most to decide how voting district boundaries are drawn?

**3) Over two-thirds (68%) of voters say it is bad for the country when one political party controls how voting districts are drawn.** Republicans are less likely to say single party redistricting control is bad for the country than Democrats (76%) and independents (72%), but a majority of them (57%) still say it's bad for the country.

- IL and NY voters are the most likely to say single party redistricting control is bad for the country; TX voters are least likely to say it is bad.

	US	CA	FL	IL	NY	TX
Very + somewhat good for the country	21%	22%	23%	15%	18%	27%
Very + somewhat bad for the country	<b>68%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>60%</b>
<b>NET GOOD FOR COUNTRY</b>	<b>-47</b>	<b>-47</b>	<b>-41</b>	<b>-55</b>	<b>-53</b>	<b>-33</b>

Q: Do you think it is good or bad for the country when one political party controls how voting districts are drawn?

**4) Voters are split on the best approach for drawing voting districts, with a narrow preference for drawing them to ensure fair competition between parties (33%).** 24% say it should be to ensure each party gets representation proportional to their support, and 23% say it should be to reflect communities and geographic boundaries.

- Ensuring fair competition between parties receives plurality support among CA, FL, IL, NY, and TX voters.

	US	CA	FL	IL	NY	TX
To ensure fair competition between parties	<b>33%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>31%</b>
To ensure each party gets representation proportional to their support	24%	24%	24%	26%	24%	27%
To reflect communities and geographic boundaries	23%	21%	22%	22%	23%	23%
The current system works well as is	9%	10%	10%	8%	8%	6%
Not sure / No opinion	11%	12%	15%	16%	14%	13%

Q: Thinking about how voting districts are drawn, which comes closest to your view? Districts should be drawn...



**5) Over three-in-four voters support a boundary-drawing process that puts community interests ahead of political advantage (78%), and having independent commissions draw electoral districts (77%).** A clear majority of voters also support Congress passing laws prohibiting states from engaging in partisan gerrymandering and engaging in mid-decade redistricting.

- All four redistricting reforms enjoy majority support among CA, FL, IL, NY, and TX voters.

	US	CA	FL	IL	NY	TX
A boundary-drawing process that puts community interests ahead of political advantage	<b>78%</b>	78%	<b>76%</b>	<b>77%</b>	76%	<b>81%</b>
Having an independent commission of citizens draw electoral districts instead of state lawmakers	77%	<b>80%</b>	<b>76%</b>	75%	<b>78%</b>	74%
Congress passing a law prohibiting states from drawing district boundaries to give one party an advantage	70%	71%	66%	71%	68%	73%
Congress passing a law prohibiting states from changing voting district boundaries mid-decade, except in exceptional circumstances such as court orders	66%	67%	66%	70%	62%	62%
A boundary-drawing process that puts community interests ahead of political advantage	<b>78%</b>	78%	<b>76%</b>	<b>77%</b>	76%	<b>81%</b>

Q: Next, I'll read you some ideas people have proposed about redistricting. For each, tell me if you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose. (Showing total support: strongly support + somewhat support)



Mike Noble, Founder & CEO  
 5310 E High St Suite 340 Phoenix, AZ 85054  
 C: 480-313-1837 [m.noble@npredictive.com](mailto:m.noble@npredictive.com)  
[www.noblepredictiveinsights.com](http://www.noblepredictiveinsights.com)

**6) Three-in-five (60%) voters oppose allowing political parties to engage in mid-decade redistricting.** Republicans are less likely to oppose this than Democrats (70%) and independents (60%), but a majority of them (51%) oppose it.

- A majority in CA, FL, IL, NY, and TX oppose mid-decade redistricting, though TX voters are the least opposed to it.

	US	CA	FL	IL	NY	TX
Strongly + somewhat support	26%	27%	26%	24%	26%	30%
Strongly + somewhat oppose	<b>60%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>55%</b>
<b>NET SUPPORT</b>	<b>-34</b>	<b>-30</b>	<b>-29</b>	<b>-35</b>	<b>-32</b>	<b>-25</b>

Q: Do you support or oppose allowing political parties to change district boundaries mid-decade?

**7) A narrow plurality of voters (46%) support states responding in kind if another state changes its boundaries to give a political party an advantage (i.e., “counterbalancing”).** Democrats (53%) are more likely than Republicans (40%) to support this, with independents in the middle (45%).

- Voters in CA and IL (both blue states) are the most likely to support counterbalancing; voters in FL and TX (both red states) are the most likely to oppose it.

	US	CA	FL	IL	NY	TX
Strongly + somewhat support	<b>46%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>44%</b>
Strongly + somewhat oppose	39%	31%	39%	31%	37%	41%
<b>NET SUPPORT</b>	<b>+7</b>	<b>+23</b>	<b>+2</b>	<b>+20</b>	<b>+9</b>	<b>+3</b>

Q: If one state changes its boundaries to give a political party an advantage, do you support or oppose other states making similar changes in response (counterbalancing)?



**8) A narrow plurality of voters (44%) opposes state lawmakers leaving the state to prevent a vote when they disagree with proposed changes to district boundaries.** Democrats (48%) are more likely than Republicans (30%) to support this, with independents in the middle (39%).

- Floridians are the most likely to oppose state lawmakers leaving the state to prevent quorum.

	US	CA	FL	IL	NY	TX
Strongly + somewhat support	39%	38%	30%	37%	34%	37%
Strongly + somewhat oppose	<b>44%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>41%</b>
<b>NET SUPPORT</b>	<b>-5</b>	<b>-3</b>	<b>-20</b>	<b>-1</b>	<b>-9</b>	<b>-4</b>

Q: When state lawmakers disagree with proposed changes to district boundaries, one option is for them to leave the state to prevent a vote. Do you support or oppose lawmakers using this tactic?



Mike Noble, Founder & CEO  
5310 E High St Suite 340 Phoenix, AZ 85054  
C: 480-313-1837 [m.noble@npredictive.com](mailto:m.noble@npredictive.com)  
[www.noblepredictiveinsights.com](http://www.noblepredictiveinsights.com)



## Appendix:

### There are clear differences in opinion on redistricting by age and educational attainment.

- Importance of district boundary drawing to health of American democracy:
  - Education:
    - High school or less: 78% important
    - Post-grad degree: 95% important
- Trust independent commission most to draw district boundaries:
  - Age:
    - 18-29: 32%
    - 65+: 49%
  - Education
    - High school or less: 25%
    - Post-grad degree: 58%
- One party controlling drawing district boundaries is bad for the country:
  - Age:
    - 18-29: 55%
    - 65+: 83%
- Average support of four federal redistricting reforms:
  - Age:
    - 18-29: 64%
    - 65+: 76%
  - Education
    - High school or less: 64%
    - Post-grad degree: 80%
- Oppose mid-decade redistricting:
  - Age:
    - 18-29: 41%
    - 65+: 76%





- Net support for “counterbalancing”:
  - Age:
    - 18-29: +14
    - 65+: -2
  - Education:
    - High school or less: +7
    - Post-grad degree: +21
- Net support for state lawmakers leaving the state to prevent a vote on changing district boundaries:
  - Age:
    - 18-29: +1
    - 65+: -24
  - Education:
    - High school or less: -10
    - Post-grad degree: +19

**70% of registered voters are very or somewhat familiar with the term redistricting.**

- Texas: 72%
- California: 71%
- New York: 69%
- Florida: 68%
- Illinois: 65%

**Methodology**

This poll was conducted via a hybrid method of online opt-in panel survey and text-to-web. The survey was completed by Noble Predictive Insights from August 26 - September 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2025 among n=2,016 U.S. registered voters ( $\pm 2.2$  MoE), plus multiple state-level surveys conducted in California (n=484 total,  $\pm 4.5$  MoE), Florida (n=499 total,  $\pm 4.4$  MoE), Illinois (n=490 total,  $\pm 4.4$  MoE), New York (n=482 total,  $\pm 4.5$  MoE), and Texas (n=400 total,  $\pm 4.9$  MoE). The sample demographics were weighted to accurately reflect national/state population by gender, region, age, party registration (California, Florida, New York) or party identification (U.S., Illinois, Texas), 2024 presidential vote recall, race/ethnicity, and educational attainment. Weight targets were sourced from recent voter file data, the Current Population Survey (CPS), and state Secretary of State websites. Totals may not sum to 100%, due to rounding.

***About Noble Predictive Insights***

As a nonpartisan public opinion polling, market research, and data analytics firm, Noble Predictive Insights revolutionizes decision-making for leaders by delivering data-driven solutions and predictive insights that provide a clear path forward. We bridge the gap between research and strategy with customized strategic research, a hyper-focus on impact, and being true partners with our clients. Noble Predictive Insights (formerly OH Predictive Insights) is a [2023 Inc. 5000 fastest-growing company](#) in the Southwest region, and is ranked in the [top 15 most accurate pollsters in the nation](#), as well as in the top 5 for lowest average bias, in the 2021-22 election cycle by FiveThirtyEight. For more information, please call (602) 641-6565 or visit our website at [www.noblepredictiveinsights.com](http://www.noblepredictiveinsights.com).



Mike Noble, Founder & CEO  
5310 E High St Suite 340 Phoenix, AZ 85054  
C: 480-313-1837 [m.noble@npredictive.com](mailto:m.noble@npredictive.com)  
[www.noblepredictiveinsights.com](http://www.noblepredictiveinsights.com)