Atlas National Poll 07/13/2025-07/18/2025

Atlas US National Poll

Presidential Approval Image of Political Leaders US military strikes on Iran Trump's Agenda | "The Big, Beautiful Bill" | Immigration | Economic Situation & Expectations | Social Polarization & Trust in Institutions | Election Scenarios



Summary

1. Methodology and sample profile

2. Results

- 2.1. Presidential approval
- 2.2. Trump's agenda
- 2.3. Major issues
- 2.4. US military strikes on Iran
- 2.5. Other topics: "The Big, Beautiful Bill" and immigration
- 2.6. Image of political leaders
- 2.7. Economic situation & expectations
- 2.8. Social polarization & trust in institutions
- 2.9. Election scenarios and Democrat-Republican comparisons

3. About AtlasIntel



1. Methodology and sample profile



Target population United States adult population

Sample size 1,935 respondents

Methodology Random Digital Recruitment (Atlas RDR)

Margin of error

±2 p.p.

Confidence level for estimation of the margin of error **95%**

Polling Period 07/13/2025-07/18/2025

Gender

Female Male Other / prefer not to identify

Yearly household income

Below \$50k \$50k - \$100k Above \$100k

Partisanship

Republican Independent Democrat



	Age	
52.7%	18 - 29	13.1%
6.8%	30 - 44	22.5%
0.4%	45 - 64	37.2%
	65+	27.2%
	Education Level	
4.9%	College degree or higher	45.5%
3.2%	All other	545%
1.8%		
	Region	
	Sub-urban	54.9%
36%	Urban	24.7%
7.1%	Rural	20.4%
27%	Race	
	White	69.5%
	Black	10.8%
	Hispanic	12.8%
	Asian	3.2%
	Other	3.7%

2. Results



2.1. Presidential approval



Do you approve or disapprove of the job Donald Trump is doing as president?





Do you approve or disapprove of the job Donald Trump is doing as president?





Approval of President Donald Trump [Crosstabs]



		INCOME		RACE						
	Below \$50k	\$50k - \$100k	Above \$100k	Asian	Black	Hispanic	White	Other		
Disapprove	58.7	51.7	55.2	42.7	<mark>8</mark> 6.2	59.1	49.9	50.2		
Approve	41.3	48.3	43	57.3	13.8	40.9	49	49.8		
Don't know	0	0	1.8	0	0	0	1	0		

		LOCATION			PARTISANSHIP		2024 VOTE FOR PRESIDENT			
	Urban Sub - urban Rural		Democrat	Republican	Independent	Donald Trump	Kamala Harris	Other, don't remember, didn't vote		
Disapprove	57.4	58.3	42.7	99	8	68.6	6.3	100	83.4	
Approve	42.6	41.3	55.1	1	<mark>9</mark> 2	29.5	93.1	0	10.3	
Don't know	0	0.4	2.2	0	0	1.9	0.5	0	6.3	



			EDUCATI	ON
	65	+	College degree or higher	All other
5.9		45.9	64.1	47.3
3.1		51.4	35.3	51.8
0	1	2.7	0.6	0.9

How do you evaluate Donald Trump's performance as President?











Poor/Very poor

Excellent/Good

Evaluation of Trump administration [Crosstabs]



		INCOME		RACE						
	Below \$50k	\$50k - \$100k	Above \$100k	Asian	Black	Hispanic	White	Other		
Poor/Very Poor	58.7	51.2	54.1	42.7	<mark>8</mark> 6.2	58	49.3	50.2		
Excellent/Good	31.4	39.2	37.9	57.3	10.5	37.3	39.4	41.7		
Regular	9.8	9.7	8	0	3.3	4.7	11.4	8.2		

		LOCATION			PARTISANSHIP		2024 VOTE FOR PRESIDENT			
	Urban	Sub - urban	Rural	Democrat	Republican	Independent	Donald Trump	Kamala Harris	Other, don't remember, didn't vote	
Poor/Very Poor	57.	57.4	41.9	98.9	6.1	68.8	5.1	99.9	<mark>8</mark> 3.4	
Excellent/Good	3	35.4	46.1	1	79.5	21	77.4	0	6.1	
Regular	10.	7 7.2	11.9	0.1	14.3	10.2	17.5	0.1	10.5	



		EDUCATI	ON
	65+	College degree or higher	All other
6.4	46.8	62.8	47.3
6.6	45.4	29.9	42.2
6.9	7.8	7.3	10.5

Immigration	42	3 1	53
Defense	41	5 1 6	
Safeguarding democracy	39	132	54
Inequality	38	5 2 2	54
Trade policy and tariffs	37	4 2	57
Job growth	37	5 2 4	53
China - US competition	36	7 2 2	53
U.S. Economy	36	4 5 3	52
Taxes	36	6 3 2	53
US-Iran relations	35	<mark>5</mark> 26	53
Foreign affairs with US allies	34	5 5 4	52
Education	34	7 4	55
Environmental protection	33	3 8	56
Conflict Israel and Hamas	33	6 6 3	52
Combating corruption	30	8 4 4	55
Healthcare	29	6 9	56
Deficit and national debt	28	<mark>6 7 1</mark>	58
War in Ukraine	28	7 4 6	55
	Excellent	Good Regular	Poor T

How would you qualify President Trump's handling of the following issues?





Terrible

How would you qualify President Trump's handling of the following issues?





2.2. Trump's agenda



Are you in favor of or against the following policy proposals made by Donald Trump?

Eliminating DEI programs from the federal government		43	11 3	52
Mass deportation of undocumented immigrants		40	418	47
Pressuring private firms and universities to end DEI programs	3	9	321	56
Dismantling or cutting spending and staff from certain federal government agencies	3	8	527	48
Increasing US fossil fuel production	37		9 8	6
Ending birthright citizenship for children of undocumented immigrants	32	2 6	4	56
Raising tariffs against specific countries as a negotiation tactic	32	10	4 8	47
Raising tariffs across the board to protect US manufacturing	27	5 8	6	55
Territorial concessions to Russia for ending the war in Ukraine	22	7 9	4	58
Resettlement of Palestinians living in Gaza in neighboring countries	19	7 15	6	54
	Strongly in favor	Mostly in favor	Don't know	Mostly against





2.3. Major issues



In your opinion, what are the greatest challenges the United States faces today?





		38.8%	5			
	37	7.5%				
35	.9%	, b				
35.2	%					
		40%			50 50	%



In your opinion, what are the greatest challenges the United States faces today?



		GENDER			AG	AGE			EDUCATION		INCOME			LOCATION		
	Man	Woman	Other / prefer not to identify	18 - 29	30 - 44	45 - 64	65+	College degree or higher	All other	Below \$50k	\$50k - \$100k	Above \$100k	Urban	Sub - urban	Rural	
Safeguarding democr	35.5	41.9	29.6	41.1	38.7	35.3	43	42.3	36	34.6	40.9	39.9	44.3	38.7	32.8	
Immigration	37.6	37.3	47.7	28	27.5	46.5	37.9	32.6	41.6	37.9	41.7	33.9	40	34.7	42.3	
Inflation/cost of living	41.6	31.1	9.6	54.3	38.9	32.8	29.3	34.9	36.9	36.3	43.9	29.4	37.4	36.1	33.5	
U.S. economy & job n	35.7	35	14.9	34.6	44.5	35.6	27.8	39.2	31.9	29.4	44.2	31.5	35.1	36.4	32.7	
Healthcare	21.3	33.9	68.2	32.3	31.3	26.3	25.6	29.6	27.1	39.5	24.5	24.4	27.5	28.8	26.7	
National debt	26.9	20	3.4	12.7	26	20.7	29.5	25	21.9	21.2	17	29.4	19.9	25.7	20.6	
Poverty and inequalit	20.6	22.8	25.6	30	28.9	17.6	17.6	26.9	17.7	26.4	15.5	24.1	23.2	23.5	15.3	
Reproductive rights	9	17.4	19.6	5.7	17.8	14.6	12.5	16.5	11	15	14.5	11.7	12.9	15	10.3	
Education	12.9	13.3	13.5	11	11	16	11.7	10.9	14.8	17.7	8.3	14.2	15.5	10.7	17.2	
Taxes	13.7	9.6	6	4.4	10.6	14	12.4	8.5	14	10	13	11.2	6.5	12.5	14.4	
Climate change	11.6	9.3	16.4	19.1	12.4	6.8	9.3	10.3	10.5	9.7	10	11.2	14.2	9	8.9	
Crime	8	8.5	43.9	5.8	4	10	11.3	7.4	9.3	9.9	2.9	11.9	4.7	9	11.4	
China - US competiti	13.5	3.5	0	13.6	2.7	6.6	12.5	4	11.6	4.4	8.8	9.9	8.2	7.9	9	
War in Ukraine	2	6	0.9	4.9	0.1	1.9	10		6.1		6.2	3.4	3	3.1	8.1	
Israel-Hamas conflict	2.9	1.5	0	1.8	2	2.1	2.6	2.1	2.2	1.5	1.9	North Annual States	1.3	2.5	2.4	

			RACE				PARTISANSHIP		2024 VOTE FOR PRESIDENT			
	Asian	Black	Hispanic	White	Other	Democrat	Republican	Independent	Donald Trump	Kamala Harris	Other, don't remember, didn't vote	
Safeguarding democr	19.3	53.9	34.9	38.4	36.9	63.2	18.3	41.4	15.6	62.1	40.8	
Immigration	58	22.7	41.2	38.6	31.7	14.3	63.3	29.1	63.8	14.5	14	
Inflation/cost of living	27.9	47.1	37.3	35.1	30.3	29.5	44	32.5	44.9	26.1	41.8	
U.S. economy & job n	52.6	49.5	40.5	31.3	39.8	33.6	37.4	34.1	42.5	28.2	32.2	
Healthcare	56.6	36	25	26.6	21	37.5	11.8	37.4	12.4	43.2	34.2	
National debt	4.3	25.3	18.3	24.1	28.5	11	31.5	24.1	30.5	15.6	24.4	
Poverty and inequalit	17.3	35.5	27.1	18.8	15.8	35.4	3.9	29.7	2.6	39.3	34.3	
Reproductive rights	24.2	13.4	17.4	12.3	9.1	29.4	1.9	13.1	1	26	14.8	
Education	4.1	0	10.7	16	11.8	12	13.5	13.6	13.9	11.5	18.2	
Taxes	0	10.5	12.2	12	13.3	2.4	21	8.8	21.4	2.7	3.9	
Climate change	7.2	0.9	8.7	12.6	5.2	18.8	0.3	14.3	0.5	19.1	19.9	
Crime	0	5.3	10.9	8.4	19.6	1.1	15.8	6.5	15.9	1.5	4	
China - US competitie	21.7	0	5.5	8.6	19.6	1.4	14.5	6.9	16.8	0.3	2	
War in Ukraine	0	0	7	4.5	0.1	5.3	5.2	2.2	3.4	5.2	1.3	
Israel-Hamas conflict	2.6	0	1	2.8	0.8	1.1	3.8	1.3	3.3	1	2.4	



2.4. US military strikes on Iran



Are you in favor or against the Trump administration's decision to launch military strikes on Iranian nuclear facilities?

Completely a	gainst				
				40.2%	
Strongly in fav	vor				
			32.79	%	
Mostly agains	st				
	12.9	%			
Mostly in favo	or				
	12.6	%			
Don't know					
1.5%					
0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%



	GENDER				AG	EDUCATION			
	Man	Woman	Other / prefer not to identify	18 - 29	30 - 44	45 - 64	65+	College degree or higher	All other
Completely against	29.5	48.9	<mark>90</mark> .2	48.1	55.5	33.9	30.4	45	36.3
Strongly in favor	41	26	0	24.6	22.6	38.4	39.3	27.6	36.9
Mostly against	12.8	13	6.9	13.8	13.2	14	10.8	15.8	10.6
Mostly in favor	15.2	10.6	1.4	11.9	8.5	11.7	17.5	9.3	15.2
Don't know	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.6	0.2	2	2	2.3	1

		INCOME		RACE							
	Below \$50k	\$50k - \$100k	Above \$100k	Asian	Black	Hispanic	White	Other			
Completely against	51.8	37.3	34.8	28.4	100	33.6	36.4	33.1			
Strongly in favor	27.2	40.3	30.6	65.4	0	19.2	37.1	23.6			
Mostly against	13.5	10.4	14.3	3.7	0	23.2	12.5	17.6			
Mostly in favor	6.8	10.7	17.8	2.5	0	23.7	11.9	25.5			
Don't know	0.6	1.3	2.4	0	0	0.4	2.1	0.2			

		LOCATION			PARTISANSHIP		2024 VOTE FOR PRESIDENT			
	Urban	Sub - urban	Rural	Democrat	Republican	Independent	Donald Trump	Kamala Harris	Other, don't remember, didn't vote	
Completely against	40.1	44	31.3	69.9	4.4	50.8	4.4	72.8	53.7	
Strongly in favor	33	31.9	34.8	0	71.1	21.7	70.4	0.1	7	
Mostly against	17.6	10.9	12.3	22.7	2.5	15.6	3.7	21.3	17.7	
Mostly in favor	8.9	11.4	19.4	5.8	21.6	9.6	19.8	4.4	21	
Don't know	0.4	1.8	2.1	1.6	0.5	2.3	1.7	1.5	0.6	



In your opinion, what impact did US strikes have on Iran's <u>capacity</u> to develop nuclear weapons?

Strikes had no effect on Iran's capacity to develop nuclear weapons

			29.5%	
Strikes significa	antly compromised I	ran's capacity to develop	o nuclear weapons	
			26.8%	
Strikes somewl	nat compromised Ira	an's capacity to develop	nuclear weapons	
		2	23.8%	
Strikes complet	tely eliminated Iran's	s capacity to develop nu	clear weapons	
	11.4%			
Strikes increase	ed Iran's capacity to	develop nuclear weapor	าร	
3.5%				
Don't know				
5%	6			
Г %	10%	20%	30%	4(



		GENDER			AGE	EDUCATION			
	Man	Woman	Other / prefer not to identify	18 - 29	30 - 44	45 - 64	65+	College degree or higher	All other
Strikes had no effect on Iran's capacity to	20	37.8	28.5	20.3	48.8	26.1	22.1	31.9	27.6
Strikes significantly compromised Iran's c	30.4	24.1	0	20.2	17.6	28.2	37	27.1	26.6
Strikes somewhat compromised Iran's ca	28.7	19.1	62.6	48.3	12	23.6	20.9	24	23.7
Strikes completely eliminated Iran's capa	11.7	11.2	0	0.4	7.7	17.4	13	8.5	13.6
Strikes increased Iran's capacity to devel	3	3.9	3.9	3.9	4.8	2.2	3.7	3.8	3.3
Don't know	6.2	3.9	4.9	6.9	9.1	2.6	3.4	4.7	5.3

		INCOME			RACE					LOCATION		
	Below \$50k	\$50k - \$100k	Above \$100k	Asian	Black	Hispanic	White	Other	Urban	Sub - urban	Rural	
Strikes had no effect on Iran's capacity to	34.7	27.9	27.2	30.4	55.6	34.4	26.1	21.6	30	31.6	22.9	
Strikes significantly compromised Iran's c	24.7	29.1	26.4	26.1	0	26.4	29.6	26.1	25.3	24.5	34.3	
Strikes somewhat compromised Iran's ca	21.6	18.4	29.5	0	42	24.2	23.3	23.1	29.8	23.2	19	
Strikes completely eliminated Iran's capa	6.2	16.5	10.8	40.8	0	9	11.2	15	8.2	12	13.4	
Strikes increased Iran's capacity to devel	4.9	4.2	2.1	0	2.3	1.6	4	5.1	3.6	3.7	3.2	
Don't know	8	4	4	2.7	0	4.3	5.8	9.1	3.2	5	7.2	

		PARTISANSHIP		2024	VOTE FOR PRESID	ENT
	Democrat	Republican	Independent	Donald Trump	Kamala Harris	Other, don't remember, didn't vote
Strikes had no effect on Iran's capacity to	50.9	2.4	38.2	2.2	53.4	44.7
Strikes significantly compromised Iran's c	0.7	59.9	16	56	1.2	7.3
Strikes somewhat compromised Iran's ca	37.8	7.2	28.7	12.3	34.6	25.8
Strikes completely eliminated Iran's capa	0	27	5.5	24.5	0	2.9
Strikes increased Iran's capacity to devel	6.6	0	4.4	1.1	4.9	11.6
Don't know	4	3.6	7.1	3.9	5.9	7.6



In your opinion, how do US strikes affect Iran's <u>motivation</u> to develop nuclear weapons?

US strikes increase Iran's motivation to develop nuclear weapons



US strikes have no effect on Iran's motivation to develop nuclear weapons

	17.2%
--	-------

US strikes decrease Iran's motivation to develop nuclear weapons

	16.8%			
Don't know				
	13.3%			
0%	15%	30%	45%	60%



		GENDER			AC	EDUCATION			
	Man	Woman	Other / prefer not to identify	18 - 29	30 - 44	45 - 64	65+	College degree or higher	All other
US strikes increase Iran's motivation to deve	44.6	59.9	37.7	66.6	63.8	44.3	46.1	55	51
US strikes have no effect on Iran's motivatio	22.6	12	57.3	16.1	10.4	23.6	15.9	13.3	20.4
US strikes decrease Iran's motivation to deve	17	16.8	0.3	4.7	12.9	19	23.7	20.9	13.4
Don't know	15.7	11.3	4.7	12.6	13	13.1	14.3	10.8	15.3

		INCOME		RACE						
	Below \$50k	\$50k - \$100k	Above \$100k	Asian	Black	Hispanic	White	Other		
US strikes increase Iran's motivation to deve	58.5	48.6	52.2	31.9	71.4	60.8	51.6	26.7		
US strikes have no effect on Iran's motivatio	13.1	21.4	16.7	24.5	13.2	6.6	18.4	38		
US strikes decrease Iran's motivation to deve	13.4	14.3	21.1	0	2.3	15.6	19.8	4.7		
Don't know	15.1	15.6	10.1	43.6	13	16.9	10.2	30.6		

		LOCATION			PARTISANSHIP		2024 VOTE FOR PRESIDENT			
	Urban	Sub - urban	Rural	Democrat	Republican	Independent	Donald Trump	Kamala Harris	Other, don't remember, didn't vote	
US strikes increase Iran's motivation to deve	48.1	56	49.7	85.5	15.4	62.6	18.5	<mark>8</mark> 3.5	66.3	
US strikes have no effect on Iran's motivatio	19.9	15.9	17.4	10	22.3	17.5	26.5	9.1	12.3	
US strikes decrease Iran's motivation to deve	22.8	12.5	20.1	2.1	39.6	6.9	32.1	2.8	11.2	
Don't know	9.2	15.6	12.8	2.4	22.7	12.9	22.9	4.7	10.1	



In your opinion, how do US strikes affect the likelihood of future terrorist attacks against US citizens?

62%

Strikes increase the likelihood of terrorist attacks against US citizens

Strikes have no effect on the likelihood of terrorist attacks against US citizens

	16.1%
Don't know	
	11.3%

Strikes decrease the likelihood of terrorist attacks against US citizens

	10.6%	6					
0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%



			GENDER				AGE		EDUCATION		
	Man		Woman	Other / prefer not to identify	18 - 29	30 - 44	45 - 64	65+	College degree or higher	All other	
Strikes increase the likelihood of terrorist att		50.6	72.1	38.4	65.9	6	2.7 62.8	57.1	63.2	60.9	
Strikes have no effect on the likelihood of te		27.6	5.6	58.9	23.3	1:	2.1 17.8	14.5	11	20.3	
Don't know		11.7	11	2.7	8.5	1	7.1 6.9	13.6	11.9	10.9	
Strikes decrease the likelihood of terrorist at		10.1	11.3	0	2.3	-	8.1 12.6	14.8	14	7.9	

		INCOME		RACE								
	Below \$50k	\$50k - \$100k	Above \$100k	Asian	Black	Hispanic	White	Other				
Strikes increase the likelihood of terrorist att	67.6	51.6	66.4	33.1	69.9	72.9	60.9	50.6				
Strikes have no effect on the likelihood of te	10.6	23.4	13.9	24.5	8.2	10.8	17.4	23.1				
Don't know	18.9	8.5	8.6	0	21.8	10.8	10.6	19.2				
Strikes decrease the likelihood of terrorist at	3	16.5	11.2	42.4	0	5.5	11.1	7.1				

		LOCATION			PARTISANSHIP		2024 VOTE FOR PRESIDENT			
	Urban	Sub - urban	Rural	Democrat	Republican	Independent	Donald Trump	Kamala Harris	Other, don't remember, didn't vote	
Strikes increase the likelihood of terrorist att	61.7	62.4	60.9	<mark>94.</mark> 4	27.2	70.4	27.7	<mark>91</mark> .8	<mark>8</mark> 4.6	
Strikes have no effect on the likelihood of te	25	11.7	16.3	3	30	12.6	32.3	2.1	4.7	
Don't know	5.2	14.3	10.9	2.3	16.1	13.3	17.8	5.8	5.2	
Strikes decrease the likelihood of terrorist at	8.1	11.5	11.8	0.3	26.7	3.8	22.2	0.2	5.5	



Do you think US presidents should have to seek congressional approval before launching military strikes on other countries?

Yes, US presidents should have to seek congressional approval

No, US presidents should not have to seek congressional approval

40.9%

Don't know





54.9%

		GENDER			A	GE		EDUCATION		
	Man	Woman	Other / prefer not to identify	18 - 29	30 - 44	45 - 64	65+	College degree or higher	All other	
Yes, US presidents should have to seek cong	44.8	63.7	44.5	68.3	72.9	45.6	43.2	59.6	51.2	
No, US presidents should not have to seek c	50.1	33	55.1	23.4	26.4	51.9	49.7	38.2	43	
Don't know	5.1	3.4	0.3	8.3	0.7	2.5	7.1	2.1	5.8	

		INCOME		RACE							
	Below \$50k	\$50k - \$100k	Above \$100k	Asian	Black	Hispanic	White	Other			
Yes, US presidents should have to seek cong	61.6	51.2	53.4	33.1	<mark>91</mark> .8	57.8	51.8	47.3			
No, US presidents should not have to seek c	31.5	46.1	43	66.9	8.2	38.8	43.1	51.9			
Don't know	6.9	2.7	3.6	0	0	3.4	5.2	0.7			

			LOCATION					PARTISANSHIP		2024 VOTE FOR PRESIDENT			
	Urbar	ı	Sub - urban		Rural	Democrat		Republican	Independent	Donald Trump	Kamala Harris	Other, don't remember, didn't vote	
Yes, US presidents should have to seek cong		57.9		59.2	42.3	98		10.6	64.2	9.3	96.8	70.2	
No, US presidents should not have to seek c		40.8		34.9	54.4	0.6		<mark>8</mark> 5.6	29.6	<mark>8</mark> 3.8	1.1	29.4	
Don't know		1.3		6	3.3	1.4	I	3.8	6.2	6.9	2.1	0.4	



In your opinion, has the Trump administration's involvement in the Israel-Iran conflict so far had a net positive or net negative effect on peace in the Middle East?

Net negative				
				48.1%
Net positive				
			36.2%	
No effect				
	9.8%			
Don't know				
5.9%	%			
0%	15%	30%	45%	60%



07/13/2025-07/18/2025

		GENDER			AG		EDUCATION		
	Man	Woman	Other / prefer not to identify	18 - 29	30 - 44	45 - 64	65+	College degree or higher	All other
Net negative	40.3	54.9	36.4	59	65.7	38.5	38.5	55.2	42.3
Net positive	43.1	30.7	0	23.1	23.1	48.6	40.1	33.3	38.5
No effect	11.9	7.5	57.5	17	5	8	12	6.6	12.4
Don't know	4.7	7	6.1	0.9	6.2	4.9	9.4	5	6.7

		INCOME		RACE						
	Below \$50k	\$50k - \$100k	Above \$100k	Asian	Black	Hispanic	White	Other		
Net negative	55.7	42.9	47	33.1	<mark>91</mark> .8	47.8	44.4	43.2		
Net positive	22.7	43.9	39.2	66.9	0	36.8	38.2	32		
No effect	12.5	8.2	9.2	0	8.2	3.8	11.9	9.7		
Don't know	9.1	5	4.6	0	0	11.5	5.6	15.1		

		LOCATION			PARTISANSHIP		2024 VOTE FOR PRESIDENT			
	Urban	Sub - urban	Rural	Democrat	Republican	Independent	Donald Trump	Kamala Harris	Other, don't remember, didn't vote	
Net negative	51.4	50.8	37.8	<mark>8</mark> 3.3	5.2	61.1	7.6	<mark>8</mark> 5.6	58.7	
Net positive	38.3	32.6	42.9	5.8	80.5	18.8	73.7	3.2	13.7	
No effect	6.9	8.5	15.3	7	7.2	13.8	10.5	7.2	23.5	
Don't know	3.3	8.2	4.1	3.9	7.1	6.2	8.3	4	4.1	



In your opinion, how likely is it that the Trump administration and Iran will reach a nuclear deal?

Unlikely					
				38.3%	
Extremely unli	kely				
			25.5%		
Likely					
		18.5%			
Extremely like	ly				
	8.6%				
Don't know					
	9.1%				
0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%



		GENDER			AC	EDUCATION			
	Man	Woman	Other / prefer not to identify	18 - 29	30 - 44	45 - 64	65+	College degree or higher	All other
Unlikely	38	38.8	16.8	50.5	36	29.3	43.1	36.9	39.4
Extremely unlikely	24.5	26.3	24.6	29.2	37.8	21.9	18.2	23.8	26.8
Likely	22.1	15.1	55.5	7.5	12.4	31	15.2	20.2	17.1
Extremely likely	5	11.7	0	0	6.7	9.8	13	10.5	7.1
Don't know	10.4	8.1	3	12.8	7.1	7.9	10.5	8.6	9.5

		INCOME		RACE						
	Below \$50k	\$50k - \$100k	Above \$100k	Asian	Black	Hispanic	White	Other		
Unlikely	38.6	36	39.8	3.8	62.6	39	37.3	32.4		
Extremely unlikely	32.8	25.9	20.5	53.9	24.2	21.2	24.6	30.3		
Likely	12.1	16.7	24.1	42.4	8.2	15.6	19.4	15.8		
Extremely likely	5.9	12.9	6.9	0	0	16.6	8.8	1.4		
Don't know	10.6	8.5	8.7	0	5	7.6	9.9	20.1		

		LOCATION			PARTISANSHIP		2024 VOTE FOR PRESIDENT			
	Urban	Sub - urban	Rural	Democrat	Republican	Independent	Donald Trump	Kamala Harris	Other, don't remember, didn't vote	
Unlikely	34.5	41	36	58.9	20.5	39.9	21.4	52.4	52.4	
Extremely unlikely	29.6	25.3	21.7	36.3	8.3	32.8	9.3	40.4	31.4	
Likely	16.4	15.8	26.7	0.8	37.6	14	38.6	0.8	6.5	
Extremely likely	6.3	8.7	10.9	0	24	1.3	18.2	0.2	1.9	
Don't know	13	9.2	4.7	3.9	9.7	12	12.4	6.2	7.8	



2.5. Other topics: "The Big, Beautiful Bill" and immigration


What is your overall impression of the budget and tax bill proposed by the Trump administration? [among those who said they were closely following congressional discussions around the bill]

Extremely negative				
				53.3%
Mostly positive				
		31.6%		
Extremely positive				
8.7%				
Mostly negative				
5.4%				
Don't know				
1%				
0%	15%	30%	45%	60%



Impression of budget and tax bill [Crosstabs]



		INCOME				RACE
	Below \$50k	\$50k - \$100k	Above \$100k	Asian	Black	Hispanic
Extremely negative	58.8	48.8	53.6	31.2	87.3	5
Mostly positive	27.9	33.2	32.5	68.8	0	3
Extremely positive	9.9	9.6	7.3	0	0	1
Mostly negative	3.3	8.2	4.6	0	12.7	
Don't know	0	0.3	2.1	0	0	

		LOCATION			PARTISANSHIP		2024 VOTE FOR PRESIDENT			
	Urban	Sub - urban	Rural	Democrat	Republican	Independent	Donald Trump	Kamala Harris	Other, don't remember, didn't vote	
Extremely negative	54.5	57.1	42.2	96.5	3.2	65	4.1	<mark>95.</mark> 5	70.6	
Mostly positive	32.7	29.7	35.5	0	68.8	22.6	68.7	0	17.2	
Extremely positive	5.7	6.2	17.4	0	21.6	3.8	19	0.1	2.4	
Mostly negative	7.1	5.8	3.2	3.3	3.9	8.5	6.1	4.4	9.8	
Don't know	0	1.3	1.7	0.1	2.6	0.2	2.2	0.1	0	



		EDUCA	ATION
	65+	College degree or higher	All other
45	48.2	54.1	52.6
35.4	41.1	28.3	34.3
13.4	7.9	12.1	5.8
6.1	2.8	4.6	6.2
0.1	0	0.9	1.1



Do you support or oppose each of the following immigration policies:

Deporting undocumented immigrants with a criminal record





		GENDER			AC	GE		EDUCA	TION		INCOM	ME			LOCATION		
	Man	Woman	Other / prefer not to identify	18 - 29	30 - 44	45 - 64	65+	College degree or higher	All other	Below \$50k	\$50k - \$	100k	Above \$100k	Urban	Sub - urban	Rural	
Deporting undocu	mented immigrants	with a crimin	al record														
Support	83	72.9	28.8	71.4	60.8	83.4	<mark>86</mark> .8	71.9	<mark>82</mark> .8	72.1		<mark>7</mark> 5.8	<mark>81</mark> .5	<mark>8</mark> 0.5	<mark>7</mark> 5.4	<mark>8</mark> 0.9	
Don't know	7.8	15.7	44.6	9.5	16.6	12.8	8.6	14.4	9.7	12.7		13.6	10.6	9.3	13.7	9.9	
Oppose	9.1	11.4	26.5	19.1	22.6	3.8	4.5	13.7	7.5	15.2		10.6	7.9	10.2	10.9	9.2	
Offering \$1,000 ar	nd a free return flig	ht for undocu	mented immigra	nts who self-dep	oort												
Support	46.8	43.8	7.2	51.8	34.4	47.5	48.1	36.4	53.8	49.6		42.6	44.1	44.7	44.8	49.1	
Don't know	12.9	16.8	12.8	18	20.6	10.8	12.6	20.5	9.4	14.7		16	14.1	16.2	15	10.9	
Oppose	40.3	39.4	80	30.1	45.1	41.7	39.3	43.1	36.8	35.6		41.4	41.8	39.1	40.3	40	
Arresting America	n politicians or judg	ges who fail to	cooperate with	immigration enf	orcement ope	rations											
Support	47.4	36.8	2.4	33.8	25.2	49.6	49.5	34.5	48.6	34.3		44.4	43.7	39.9	39.4	52.4	
Don't know	2.6	6.8	0.8	3.8	9.2	4.1	2.7	5.8	4	8.2	1	4.2	3.2	1.8	7.5	0.4	
Oppose	50	56.4	96.8	62.4	65.7	46.3	47.8	59.7	47.4	57.5		51.4	53.1	58.3	53.2	47.2	
Deporting undocu	mented immigrants	without allow	wing them a cour	t hearing													
Support	46	34.7	0	24.2	26.3	43.5	53.9	33.3	46.4	33.8		46	38.5	32.6	37.7	56.1	
Don't know	2.3	1.3	2.4	7.3	0.1	2.2	0	2.2	1.4	0.5		1.2	3	3.5	1.7	0.1	
Oppose	51.7	63.9	97.6	68.5	<mark>7</mark> 3.7	54.3	46.1	64.5	52.2	65.7		52.8	58.5	63.8	60.5	43.8	
Conducting immig	ration raids at work	cplaces															
Support	50.2	30.8	8 0	37.1	26.2	41	50.5	33.9	45.2	34.2		41	41.4	35.6	37	53.8	
Don't know	2.4	3.3	8 0	1.1	0.3	5.9	1.9	2.6	3.2	0.3		5.3	2.5	0.8	3.8	2.6	
Oppose	47.4	65.8	100	61.7	73.5	53.1	47.5	63.6	51.6	65.4		53.6	56.1	63.6	59.3	43.6	
Prohibiting interna	ational students fro	m attending L	JS universities e.	g. Harvard													
Support	31	30.9	0	25.7	18.3	30.5	45.6	24.3	37.5	31.4		33.9	28.6	33.6	24.6	47.6	
Don't know	10.4	2.8	8 0	4.9	1.6	9.8	6.2	2.6	9.5	1.2		7.1	8.2	5.5	6.3	6.3	
Oppose	58.6	66.4	100	<mark>69.3</mark>	<mark>8</mark> 0.1	59.7	48.1	73.1	52.9	67.4		59	63.2	60.9	69.1	46.1	
Deporting undocu	mented immigrants	to countries	where they are n	ot citizens													
Support	28.1	23.2	2 0	17.3	15.2	26.9	36.7	22.7	28.4	22.5		28	25.3	25.3	21.3	38.8	
Don't know	12.5	7.9	2.5	10.7	1.9	11.6	14	4.9	14.7	8.4		9.4	11.4	10.4	9.9	9.3	
Oppose	59.3	68.9	97.5	72	82.9	61.5	49.3	72.3	56.9	69.1		62.6	63.2	64.3	68.8	51.9	



			RACE				PARTISANSHIP		2024	VOTE FOR PRES	IDENT
	Asian	Black	Hispanic	White	Other	Democrat	Republican	Independent	Donald Trump	Kamala Harris	Other, don't remember, didn't vote
Deporting undocu	mented immigrants	with a crimina	l record								
Support	30.3	61.8	<mark>91.</mark> 5	<mark>7</mark> 8.9	<mark>7</mark> 7.7	54.8	97	<mark>7</mark> 6.4	98.7	58.7	67.3
Don't know	19.6	23.6	6.1	10.4	16.6	31	1.3	8.6	0	22.6	18.2
Oppose	50.2	14.6	2.4	10.8	5.7	14.2	1.7	15	1.3	18.6	14.5
Offering \$1,000 ar	nd a free return flig	ht for undocum	ented immigran	ts who self-de	port						
Support	5.2	14.6	57.1	48.5	57.3	16.9	71.4	43.3	76.3	18.9	24.9
Don't know	21.9	30.8	6.8	13.6	8.4	23.1	6	16.1	5.8	22.6	17.9
Oppose	72.9	54.6	36.1	38	34.3	60	22.6	40.5	18	58.6	57.2
Arresting America	n politicians or judg	es who fail to c	ooperate with i	mmigration en	forcement ope	rations					
Support	12.1	10.7	48.3	44.9	54.6	7.8	<mark>89.</mark> 9	23.9	86.1	3.9	11.5
Don't know	0	14.6	1.8	4.1	3.7	1.4	0.4	10.8	5	5	2.9
Oppose	<mark>87</mark> .9	<mark>7</mark> 4.7	49.9	51	41.7	90.7	9.6	65.3	8.9	91.1	85.6
Deporting undocu	mented immigrants	without allowi	ng them a court	t hearing							
Support	12.1	0	52.3	44.4	37.7	1.8	<mark>87</mark> .7	25.6	<mark>84</mark> .5	0.2	18.9
Don't know	0	0	1.1	1.4	16.6	0.1	2.9	2.2	4	0.1	C
Oppose	<mark>87</mark> .9	100	46.6	54.1	45.6	98.2	9.4	72.2	11.5	99.7	81
Conducting immig	ration raids at work	places									
Support	12.1	0	51.2	44.4	37.7	1.8	<mark>86</mark> .4	26.3	83.9	0.2	19.1
Don't know	0	0	0.9	4	0	0.5	2.4	4.9	5.2	0.7	2.4
Oppose	<mark>87</mark> .9	100	48	51.6	62.3	97.7	11.3	68.8	10.9	99.1	<mark>7</mark> 8.4
Prohibiting interna	ational students from	m attending US	universities e.g	. Harvard							
Support	12.1	0	38.7	34.4	37.2	2.9	71.5	16.2	66.3	1.4	5
Don't know	0	0	6.7	7.4	4.3	0.1	7.3	9.4	11.6	0.3	9
Oppose	<mark>87</mark> .9	100	54.5	58.3	58.5	97.1	21.2	74.5	22.1	98.4	86.1
Deporting undocu	mented immigrants	to countries w	here they are n	ot citizens							
Support	0	0	40.8	27.2	25	0.7	63.8	10.3	55.3	0	6.9
Don't know	0	0	7.4	11.6	24.5	0	9.2	17.6	19.2	0.6	11.3
Oppose	100	100	51.8	61.3	50.5	99.3	27.1	72.1	25.6	99.4	81.8



2.6. Image of political leaders



Do you have a positive or negative image of the following politicians?





\frown	
-	

Do you have a positive or negative image of **Donald Trump**?





Do you have a positive or negative image of <u>J. D. Vance</u>?





Do you have a positive or negative image of **Barack Obama**?





Do you have a positive or negative image of <u>Joe Biden</u>?





Do you have a positive or negative opinion of the following members of the Trump administration?

Marco Rubio (Secretary of State)





Do you have a positive or negative image of <u>Pam Bondi</u>?





Do you have a positive or negative image of <u>Marco Rubio</u>?





Do you have a positive or negative image of <u>Robert F. Kennedy Jr.</u>?





Do you agree or disagree with the following criticisms commonly directed against Democrats?

The Democratic Party is facing a crisis of leadership 86 Democrats don't know how to effectively oppose Trump's agenda 80 The Democratic Party is out of touch with the real issues affecting Americans 67 Democrats have systematically betrayed some of their longstanding constituents 10 66 Democrats' woke discourse has gone too far 53 5 Democrats aren't so different from Trump when it comes to foreign policy 12 19 69 Agree Don't know Disagree





Do you agree or disagree with the following criticisms commonly directed against President Trump?





2.7. Economic situation & expectations



How do you evaluate the US economy and job market <u>right now</u>? And how do you evaluate your family's economic situation?

My family's situation 35 40 Job market 26 22 United States economy 22 24 Good Normal Bad





What is your expectation regarding the US economy and job market <u>six months from now</u>? What is your expectation regarding your family's situation?

United States economy





2.8. Social polarization and trust in institutions



Would you say there is significant polarization and social conflict in the United States between the following groups?

People who live in cities and people who live in rural areas

1	3	14		28			14	
Peopl	e who	practice c	lifferent re	ligions				
7	1:	1	29			22		
Peopl	e with	different	ethnic or ra	acial bac	kground	ds		
6	12	2	26		21	L		
Peopl	e in di	ifferent eco	onomic cla	sses				
5	10		26		19			
Peopl	e who	support d	ifferent po	litical pa	arties			
12	11		24				6	2
■ No con	flict	Little confl	lan an	Some conflic	t		rong nflict	





How much trust do you have in the following institutions?





16	20	+8pp
	38	- 11 pp
	29	-16pp
	32	-37pp
	38	-34pp
44	ļ.	-49pp
57		-64pp
55		-73pp
ust 📕	No trust at all	

In your opinion, are rulings by federal judges that block parts of President Trump's agenda motivated mainly by political bias or a genuine interest in applying the law?





	GENDER				AC	EDUCATION			
	Man	Woman	Other / prefer not to identify	18 - 29	30 - 44	45 - 64	65+	College degree or higher	All other
Political bias	54.2	48.5	51.4	37.2	32.2	60.3	60.8	41.5	59.2
Genuine interest in applying the law	42.9	50.6	46	56.1	66.8	38.5	38.4	56.3	39.3
Don't know	2.8	1	2.6	6.7	1	1.3	0.8	2.2	1.6

		INCOME		RACE							
	Below \$50k	\$50k - \$100k	Above \$100k	Asian	Black	Hispanic	White	Other			
Political bias	50	54.5	49.3	69.4	17.8	46.8	56.2	54.2			
Genuine interest in applying the law	45.7	44.4	49.8	30.6	77	52.2	42.5	41.3			
Don't know	4.3	1.1	0.9	0	5.2	0.9	1.3	4.5			

		LOCATION			PARTISANSHIP		2024 VOTE FOR PRESIDENT			
	Urban	Sub - urban	Rural	Democrat	Republican	Independent	Donald Trump	Kamala Harris	Other, don't remember, didn't vote	
Political bias	52.4	46.5	62.7	16	90.2	38.6	92.2	12.7	30	
Genuine interest in applying the law	43.9	52.1	36.6	82.3	9.4	57.9	7.1	<mark>8</mark> 4.4	67	
Don't know	3.6	1.4	0.7	1.7	0.4	3.5	0.7	2.8	3	



In your opinion, what primarily motivates the Federal Reserve Chair Jerome Powell's recent decisions to hold interest rates steady?

Genuine interest in promoting a healthy US economy

	43.2%

Political bias

	37.5%
--	-------

Don't know





		GENDER					AC	FE		EDUCATION	
	٢	Man	Woman	Other	r / prefer not to identify	18 - 29	30 - 44	45 - 64	65+	College degree or higher	All other
Genuine interest in promoting a healthy US economy		40.6	45.8		26.2	33.4	46.5	42.2	46.1	50.8	37.1
Political bias		39.9	35	5	61.1	16.4	30.2	45.7	44.7	30.3	43.1
Don't know		19.5	19.2	2	12.7	50.2	23.3	12.1	9.3	18.8	19.8

		INCOME		RACE						
	Below \$50k \$50k - \$100k		Above \$100k	Asian	Black	Hispanic	White	Other		
Genuine interest in promoting a healthy US economy	37.4	43.9	46.4	34.6	65	33.4	43.3	32.4		
Political bias	34.6	36.5	40.2	40.8	8.2	42.8	39.2	48.7		
Don't know	28	19.6	13.5	24.5	26.8	23.8	17.5	18.9		

		LOCATION			PARTISANSHIP		2024 VOTE FOR PRESIDENT			
	Urban	Sub - urban	Rural	Democrat	Republican	Independent	Donald Trump	Kamala Harris	Other, don't remember, didn't vote	
Genuine interest in promoting a healthy US economy	41	.6 47.0	35.2	74.3	16	46	15.5	69.6	45.4	
Political bias	34	.5 32.7	51.4	10.1	69.4	29	67.2	10.4	27.2	
Don't know	23	.9 19.0	13.4	15.7	14.6	25.1	17.4	20	27.4	



Would you support or oppose the Trump administration announcing its nominee for the next Federal Reserve Chair earlier than expected?







		INCOME		RACE							
	Below \$50k	\$50k - \$100k	Above \$100k	Asian	Black	Hispanic	White	Other			
Oppose	52.9	39.7	45.7	33.1	62.9	45.9	44.6	36.7			
Support	31.2	39.2	33.4	40.8	8.2	37.7	36.9	36.8			
Don't know	15.9	21.1	20.9	26.1	28.8	16.4	18.5	26.5			

		LOCATION			PARTISANSHIP		2024 VOTE FOR PRESIDENT			
	Urban	Sub - urban	Rural	Democrat	Republican	Independent	Donald Trump	Kamala Harris	Other, don't remember, didn't vote	
Oppose	53.4	46.4	35.3	78.7	5	58.5	6.9	81.9	55.2	
Support	30.5	31.7	46.4	0.2	72.2	25.7	74.1	0.2	11.3	
Don't know	16.1	21.9	18.3	21.1	22.8	15.8	19.1	18	33.5	



		EDUCATI	EDUCATION						
	65+	College degree or higher	All other						
2.5	42.8	46.5	45						
49	38.8	32.4	36.4						
8.5	18.4	21.1	18.5						

2.9. Election scenarios and Democrat-Republican comparisons



If the midterm elections for the House of Representatives were held today, who would you be most likely to vote for?







		GENDER			AG	EDUCATION			
	Man	Woman	Other / prefer not to identify	18 - 29	30 - 44	45 - 64	65+	College degree or higher	All other
The Democratic cand	44.8	57	50.6	59.8	67.5	43.6	44.4	59.5	44.4
The Republican candi	44.8	40.8	43.7	29.5	25.7	51.4	51	34.5	49.5
Don't know	8.8	2	4.7	9.5	5.5	3.9	4.5	5	5.4
Would not vote	1.5	0.3	1	1.2	1.3	1.1	0	1	0.7

		INCOME				RACE
	Below \$50k	\$50k - \$100k	Above \$100k	Asian	Black	Hispanic
The Democratic cand	56.8	48.2	50.4	39.7	<mark>8</mark> 6.2	56.
The Republican candi	40.6	46.8	40.9	57.3	13.8	41.
Don't know	2.5	4.3	7.4	3	0	1.
Would not vote	0.1	0.8	1.4	0	0	

		LOCATION			PARTISANSHIP		2024 VOTE FOR PRESIDENT			
	Urban	Sub - urban	Rural	Democrat	Republican	Independent	Donald Trump	Kamala Harris	Other, don't remember, didn't vote	
The Democratic cand	53.4	54.2	40.5	97.7	5.4	62.3	3	97.3	71	
The Republican candi	37.8	40.3	55.7	1.2	<mark>9</mark> 2	25	<mark>90</mark> .1	0.2	6.2	
Don't know	7.6	4.6	3.7	1	2.2	10.9	5.6	2.4	19.9	
Would not vote	1.3	0.9	0.1	0.1	0.5	1.8	1.3	0.1	3	





Which party do you trust more to handle the following issue areas?

Environment	58		11	31	+27pp
Healthcare	54		10	36	+18pp
Education	54	4		42	+12pp
Democracy	54	4		42	+12pp
Employment/job market	52	4		44	+8pp
Trade policy and tariffs	52	4		44	+8pp
Economic inequality	51	10		39	+12pp
Inflation/cost of living	51	5		44	+7pp
Foreign policy	50	6		44	+6pp
Immigration	49	7		45	+4pp
Taxes	46	7		48	-2pp
Defense	42	7	1 1	51	-9pp
Crime	40	11		49	-9pp
	Democrats	Don't know	Republica	าร	



Provided that these were the candidates, who would you vote for in the Democratic primaries for the 2028 presidential election? [among those identifying as Democrats]





Provided that these were the candidates, who would you vote for in the Republican primaries for the 2028 presidential election? [among those identifying as Republicans]

J. D. Vance					
Ron DeSantis		1	3.2%		
Marco Rubio		9.7%			
None of the above		7.6%			
Donald Trump Jr.	4.6	%			
Tim Scott	2.6%				
Vivek Ramaswamy	1.8%				
Kristi Noem	1.4%				
Greg Abbott	0.9%				
Brian Kemp	0.2%				
Tom Cotton	0.2%				
0	%	10%	20%	30%	40%





About AtlasIntel


With an average error of 0.3pp, AtlasIntel was the most accurate pollster of the 2024 election, both at the national level and in swing states. It was also the only pollster to correctly indicate the winner of the presidential race in each state.

Most Accurate Pollsters of the 2024 US Presidential Election

National Popular Vote

		Trump	Harris	Advantage Trump vs Harris	Poll Error
Re	sults			1.5	
1	AtlasIntel 11/04	50	48.8		0.3
2	ActiVote 11/02	50.5	49.5		0.5
3	Quantus Insights 10/30	49.1	48.3	0.8	0.7
4	SoCal Strategies 10/31	49.9	49.1	0.8	0.7
5	Big Data Poll 10/29	50.1	49.9	0.2	1.3
6	Rasmussen 10/31	49	46		1.5
7	J.L. Partners 10/29	50	47	3	1.5
8	Fabrizio/GBAO/WSJ 10/22	49	46		1.5
9	TIPP Insight 11/03	48	48	0	1.5
10	Hart Research/POS 11/02	49	49	0	1.5
11	Emerson College 11/02	49	49	0	1.5
12	NYT/Siena 10/23	48	48	0	1.5
13	NBC News 11/02	49	49	0	1.5
14	Leger/NYP 11/03	48.5	49.4	0.9	2.4
15	YouGov 10/31	48	49	1	2.5
16	Patriot Polling 11/03	48.1	49.4	1.3	2.8
17	Research Co. 11/03	46	48	2	3.5
18	lpsos 11/03	48	50	2	3.5
19	HarrisX 11/02	49	51	2	3.5
20	Morning Consult 10/31	47	49	2	3.5
21	Marist College 11/02	47	51	4	5.5

Final Trump vs. Harris advantage according to New York Times projection on 11/07/2024. The error ranking considers the last poll released by each pollster up to two weeks (14 days) before Election Day



www.atlasintel.org/polls



Performance of Final Atlas Polls 2024 U.S. Presidential Election

Race	Final result	Atlas Polls	Error
National	Trump +1.5	Trump +1.2	0.3
Nevada	Trump +3.1	Trump +3.1	0.0
Wisconsin	Trump +0.9	Trump +0.9	0.0
Michigan	Trump +1.4	Trump +1.5	0.1
Montana	Trump +19.9	Trump +20.2	0.3
Virginia	Harris +5.8	Harris +5.4	0.4
Arizona	Trump +5.5	Trump +5.1	0.4
Georgia	Trump +2.2	Trump +1.6	0.6
Pennsylvania	Trump +1.7	Trump +1.0	0.7
North Carolina	Trump +3.2	Trump +2.1	1.1
Minnesota	Harris +4.2	Harris +2.0	2.2
Ohio	Trump +11.2	Trump +8.5	2.7
Texas	Trump +13.6	Trump +10.6	3.0



www.atlasintel.org/polls

AtlasIntel was also the most accurate pollster of the 2020 presidential election.

In 2020, Atlas polls had an average error of 2pp. They anticipated election results within the margin of error in all swing states. 2020 was the worst cycle for the US polling industry in four decades.*



Replying to @NateSilver538

Finally, here's how the most prolific pollsters fared in the general election.

The best-performing pollster was AtlasIntel!

Second was Trafalgar!

Yeah, they incorrectly had Trump winning a few states, but they were close on the margins, and that's the better metric.

AtlasIntel was 2020's most accurate pollster

Average error of polls in final 21 days before the 2020 general election, for pollsters that conducted at least 10 polls

POLLSTER	NO. POLLS	RACES CALLED CORRECTLY	STATISTICAL BIAS	AVG. ERROR
Swayable	68	93%	+5.1	+5.8
SurveyMonkey	58	97	+3.8	+4.7
Morning Consult	54	80	+4.6	+4.8
Change Research	44	69	+5.7	+6.1
Data for Progress	42	75	+5.0	+5.0
YouGov	37	82	+5.3	+5.3
Emerson College	36	58	+3.0	+4.1
lpsos	32	73	+4.6	+4.6
Public Policy Polling	31	63	+7.2	+7.2
Trafalgar Group	28	50	-2.1	+2.6
Siena College/NYT Upshot	25	76	+5.5	+5.5
RMG Research	25	80	+6.0	+6.0
Civiqs	22	73	+5.3	+5.6
Gravis Marketing	21	90	+4.8	+4.8
SurveyUSA	17	79	+2.4	+4.6
Rasmussen Reports/POR	17	68	+1.0	+2.8
Opinion Savvy/InsiderAdvantage	15	47	+0.8	+3.5
Research Co.	14	96	+5.2	+5.2
AtlasIntel	14	71	+1.0	+2.2
Harris Insights & Analytics	13	81	+3.2	+3.3
SSRS	12	75	+7.1	+7.1

Opinion Savvy/InsiderAdvantage

Harris Insights & Analytics

Siena College/The New York.

ABC News/The Washington Post **Redfield & Wilton Strategies** USC Dornsife/Los Angeles Times





Average error of national and state-level polls in the 2020 US Presidential Election

AtlasIntel figures as the highest rated pollster in the United States, according to Nate Silver's updated pollster ranking for 2025, following the results of the 2024 US presidential elections.

Rank 2025	Year Change	Pollster	Grade	Partisan Bias	Predictive Plus-Minus
1	^ 7	AtlasIntel	A+	D +0.2	-1.0
3		The Washington Post	A+	D +0.9	-0.9
5	• 3	The New York Times/Siena College	А	D +1.4	-0.8
7	• 2	SurveyUSA	А	D +0.1	-0.7
13	^ 1	Emerson College	A-	D +0.6	-0.6
15	▼ 3	Monmouth University	A-	D +2.0	-0.5
19	▼ 9	Marist College	A-	D +0.5	-0.4
21	• 2	Public Policy Polling	A-	D +0.9	-0.4
25	▼1	Mason-Dixon Polling & Strategy	A-	R +0.5	-0.3
27	^ 1	Suffolk University	A-	D +0.9	-0.3
30	▼ 5	Quinnipiac University	B+	D +0.6	-0.3
39	^ 28	Trafalgar Group	B+	R +1.8	-0.2
56	• 10	Gallup	B+	R +0.6	-0.1
72	~ 54	InsiderAdvantage	В	D +0.4	0.0
77	▼ 39	YouGov	В	D +1.1	0.0
82	^ 2	Rasmussen Reports	В	R +1.4	0.1
106	▼ 6	Gravis Marketing/Kaplan Strategies	В	D +1.3	0.2
107	▼ 33	Harris Insights & Analytics	В	R +1.0	0.2
123	• 33	Data for Progress	В	D +1.6	0.3
162	▼ 31	Big Village	B-	D +0.2	0.4
166	^ 125	University of New Hampshire	B-	D +2.0	0.4
171	~ 27	lpsos	B-	D +1.9	0.4
203	▼ 9	Change Research	B-	D +2.7	0.5
211	~ 22	Zogby Analytics	B-	R +0.6	0.6
225	• 79	Morning Consult	B-	D +3.4	0.6
417	• 11	American Research Group	C+	D +0.1	0.8
485	v 21	SurveyMonkey	С	D +4.6	1.0





According to the New York Times, AtlasIntel is one the most reliable pollsters in the **United States.**

The latest polls

Every poll here influences the averages above. Polls from "select pollsters," which meet certain criteria for reliability, count for more. You can filter by state, toggle to show only select pollsters, and choose head-to-head or three-way matchups.

Na	ational polls ~	All pollsters	Select pollsters	Biden vs. Trump
	POLLSTER	SPONSOR	STATE	MARGIN
	Emerson College July 7–8 New		U.S.	Trump +4
0	Cygnal Political July 1-2		U.S.	Trump +5
0	• Fabrizio, Lee & Associate June 29 – July 2	Wall Street Journ	ual U.S.	Trump +6
	The New York Times/Sier June 28 – July 2		U.S.	Trump +6
	CNN/SSRS June 28-30		U.S.	Trump +6
	Suffolk University June 28–30	USA Today	U.S.	Trump +4
	AtlasIntel June 26-28	CNN Brazil	U.S.	Trump +5
	The New York Times/Sie June 20–25		U.S.	Trump +4
	Quinnipiac University June 20–24		U.S.	Trump +6
	Beacon Research/Shaw June 14-17	Fox News	U.S.	Biden +1



With K	ennedy	
BIDEN	TRUMP	KENNEDY
40%	44%	6%
38%	44%	7%
36%	42%	7%
37%	42%	8%
35%	41%	14%
38%	41%	8%
40%	46%	10%
37%	40%	7%
37%	43%	11%
43%	42%	10%



Ruth Igielnik Staff editor, polling

Polls by "select pollsters" are shown with a diamond. These pollsters have backgrounds that tend to mean they are more reliable. Also, polls that were conducted by or for partisan organizations are labeled, as they often release only results that are favorable to their cause.

AtlasIntel was the only web-based polling company with an A-rating in the last edition of Nate Silver's FiveThirtyEight pollster ranking, which evaluated the historical accuracy and the methodological quality of polls.





AtlasIntel was the most accurate pollster of the last three election cycles in Argentina (presidential elections, legislative primaries, and legislative elections).

In each of the three election cycles, AtlasIntel had the lowest polling error. In 2023, AtlasIntel was the only pollster to correctly indicate the winners of both the 1st Round (Sergio Massa) and the runoff (Javier Milei).



#EleccionesArgentina 🞬

Las encuestas y las elecciones 2019: sólo una consultora brasileña se acercó en el resultado

Translate Tweet



2019 Argentina **Presidential Election Polls**

AtlasIntel Rául Aragon & Associados CIGP TresPuntoCero Tendencias

Federico Gonzales & Assoc.

Pollster average error

Oh Panel Dicen Rouvier & Asociados Circuitos Gustavo Cordoba Marketing & Estadistica CEOP Opinaia Synopsis CB Proyección Clivajes Management & Fit Reale Dallatore Giacobe & Associados

Real Time Data

Ideia Big Data

CIGP Elypsis

509 30 209 Mar '19

2019 Atlas Tracking Pro Time series







AtlasIntel was the most accurate pollster of the 2022 presidential election in Brazil.

		1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
	2/10	1/10)	1/10)	30/0	9	29/0	9	1/10)	30/05	9	1/10	1	29/0	9	29/09	Э
		8																2	
		AtlasIn	tel	MD/	4	Paraná Pes	quisas	Ideia Big	Data	lpec	6	Verit	á	Datafol	ha	Futur	a	Brasma	r <mark>ket</mark>
	Result	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error
										-									
Lula	48.4	50.3	1.9	48.3	0.1	47.2	1.2	48.9	0.4	51	2.6	41.6	6.8	50	1.6	43.6	4.8	34.7	13.7
Jair Bolsonaro	43.2	41.1	2.1	39.7	3.5	40.1	3.1	38.5	4.7	37	6.6	44.6	1.4	36	7.2	40.5	2.7	51.0	7.8
Simone Tebet	4.2	2.7	1.5	4.7	0.5	6.2	2.0	6.2	2.1	5	1.2	4.2	0.0	6	1.8	7.7	3.5	5.8	1.6
Ciro Gomes	3.0	4.0	1.0	4.9	1.9	5.3	2.3	5.2	2.2	5	2.4	4.1	1.1	5	2.0	6.0	3.0	7.0	4.0
Outros	1.2	1.9	0.7	2.4	1.2	1.2	0.0	1.2	0.1	2	0.9	5.5	4.3	2	0.8	2.2	1.0	1.5	0.3
					-													2	
Average error			1.4		1.5		1.7		1.9		2.7		2.7		2.7		3.0		5.5



AtlasIntel was the most accurate pollster of the 2022 Constitutional Plebiscite in Chile

Public and private AtlasIntel polls accurately captured the dynamics of voter mobilization and came closer to the final vote count than any competitor.

AtlasIntel public releases both before and after the start of the poll blackout period indicated that slightly more than 58% of Chilean voters would vote to reject the new constitution; with 61,8% of votes cast against the new constitutional text, AtlasIntel came closest to the final outcome regardless of whether one considers polls released before or during the blackout period.

Ranking of pollster accuracy

CHILE 2022 - Constitutional plebiscite

Last public poll released prior to the start of the publication blackout period (likely voters)

		1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
	9/4	8/19)	8/19)	8/19	9	8/1		8/19	9	8/19)	8/7		8/19)	8/2	6
						C .						Activ	a			Signo	s /		
		AtlasIn	tel	Black & V	Vhite	UDD)	Criter	ia	Cade	m	Pulso Ciud	adano	Data Inf	luye	SmartAna	lystics	MORI /	FIEL
	Result	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error
Approve	38,14	41,6	3,5	42,0	3,9	44,3	6,2	44,4	6,3	45,0	6,9	46,5	8,4	47,3	9,2	48,1	10,0	48,4	10,3
Reject	61,86	<mark>58,4</mark>	3,5	58,0	3,9	<mark>55,7</mark>	6,2	55,6	6,3	55,0	6,9	53,5	8,4	52,7	9,2	2 51,9	10,0	51,6	5 10,3
											-	1							
Average error			3,5		3,9		6,2		6,3		6,9		8,4		9,2	2	10,0)	10,3

Last public poll released after the start of the publication blackout period (likely voters)

		1		2		3		4	
	9/4	9/3	\$	9/3		8/29	9	9/2	<u>,</u>
		AtlasIn	tel	Black & V	Vhite	Activa P Ciudad		Cade	m
	Result	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error
Approve	38,14	41,9	3,8	45,0	6,9	45,1	7,0	47,0	8,9
Reject	61,86	58,1	3,8	55,0	6,9	54,9	7,0	53,0	8,9
Average error			3,8		6,9		7,0		8,9



AtlasIntel was the most accurate pollster of the 2022 presidential race in Colombia, considering polling accuracy during the primaries, the 1st round of voting, and the runoff.

		1		2		3		4		5		6	
		AtlasInto (Atlas Trackin	Concernant second or	AtlasInt (Public po		Invame	r	Guarum	0	CNC		YanHaa	s
	Result	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error	Result	Error
										2			
Primaries										4			
Result by coalition													
Pacto Histórico	47.1	48.8	1.7	50.6	3.5	53.1	6.0	38.4	8.7	41.7	5.4	48.3	1.2
Equipo por Colombia	33.7	29.9	3.8	28.2	5.5	26.7	7.0	37.5	3.8	27.8	5.9	21.7	12.0
Centro Esperanza	19.1	21.3	2.2	21.2	2.1	20.3	1.2	24.1	5.0	30.6	11.5	30.0	10.9
Pacto Histórico													
Gustavo Petro	80.5	87.5	7.0	85.2	4.7	78.6	1.9	81.6	1.1	78.6	1.9	87.8	7.3
Francia Márquez	14.0	6.8	7.2	6.2	7.8	13.5	0.5	10.5	3.5	12.2	1.8	19.5	5.5
Camilo Romero	4.1	3.3	0.8	3.9	0.2	5.9	1.8	5.9	1.8	4.1	0.0	9.8	5.7
Equipo por Colombia													2
Fico Gutiérrez	54.2	55.7	1.5	53.4	0.8	28.9	25.3	33.4	20.8	32.6	21.6	36.6	17.6
Álex Char	17.7	22.5	4.8	23.1	5.4	24.7	7.0	29.2	11.5	32.6	14.9	24.4	6.7
David Barguil	15.8	13.3	2.5	16.0	0.2	15.5	0.3	21.4	5.6	6.5	9.3	9.8	6.0
Aydeé Lizarazo	6.5	1.2	5.3	2.0	4.5	12.3	5.8	4.2	2.3	5.4	1.1	7.3	0.8
Enrique Peñalosa	5.8	7.3	1.5	5.6	0.2	18.7	12.9	11.8	6.0	22.8	17.0	22.0	16.2
Centro Esperanza													
Sergio Fajardo	33.5	48.2	14.7	49.6	16.1	37.8	4.3	37.5	4.0	41.5	8.0	47.4	13.9
Juan Manuel Galán	22.5	18.7	3.8	14.9	7.6	23.9	1.4	22.1	0.4	33.0	10.5	31.6	9.1
Carlos Amaya	20.9	11.6	9.3	10.9	10.0	14.9	6.0	10.4	10.5	8.5	12.4	5.3	15.6
Alejandro Gaviria	15.6	15.5	0.1	19.0	3.4	12.9	2.7	21.5	5.9	11.7	3.9	7.9	7.7
Jorge Enrique Robledo	7.4	6.0	1.4	5.5	1.9	10.5	3.1	8.5	1.1	5.3	2.1	7.9	0.5
FIRST ROUND											S		
Gustavo Petro	40.3	38.2	2.1	41.2	0.9	40.5	0.2	38.8	1.5	39.7	0.6	42.6	2.3
Rodolfo Hernández	28.2	24.5	3.7	22.1	6.1	20.9	7.3	20.8	7.4	21.2	7.0	12.8	15.4
Fico Gutiérrez	23.9	25.2	1.3	27.5	3.6	27.1	3.2	31.6	7.7	23.1	0.8	22.3	1.6
Sergio Fajardo	4.2	8.3	4.1	9.1	4.9	5.1	0.9	4.4	0.2	4.4	0.2	7.4	3.2
SECOND ROUND												· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Gustavo Petro	50.4	48.6	1.8	47.5	2.9	47.2	3.2	46.5	3.9	50.5	0.1	48.4	2.0
Rodolfo Hernández	47.3	48.9	1.6	50.2	2.9	48.2	0.9	48.2	0.9	46.1	1.2	37.6	9.7
Blank votes	2.2	2.5	0.2	2.4	0.1	4.7	2.4	5.3	3.0	3.4	1.1	14.0	11.7
Average error			3.6		4.1		4.6		5.1		6.0		7.9



AtlasIntel was the only pollster to correctly anticipate the deadlock resulting from the 2023 legislative election in Spain

With an error of less than a tenth of a percentage point, AtlasIntel was the only pollster to correctly estimate the difference between the PP and the PSOE as well as the difference between the ideological left-right blocks. The surprising result resulted in a legislative deadlock.

El Confidencial

La única encuesta que ha clavado los resultados del 23-J se hizo en São Paulo: "Era un experimento"

En un contexto en el que las casas de encuestas están siendo criticadas por sobreestimar a la derecha, a Atlas Intel le bastó un único sondeo el 17 de julio para acertar más que ninguna



Roman (izquierda, camisa gris), junto al equipo de Atlas Intel que ha realizado la encuesta desde Brasil. (Cedida)



23 July Elections in Spain: Comparison of Results vs. Poll Estimates

	РР	PSOE	PP vs. PSOE margin	Poll error
Final Result	8			
23-Jul	33.1	31.7	1.4	
AtlasIntel				
7-Jul	30.8	29.4	1.4	0.05
Simple Lógica/elDiario.es				
7-Jul	32.2	28.6	3.6	2.25
louGov			10	0.65
7-Jul	32.0	28.0	4.0	2.65
	30.8	32.2	-1.4	2.75
7-Jul GESOP/The Adelaide Review	30.0	32.2	-1.4	2.75
1-Jul	32.7	28.5	4.2	2.85
lodB/Prisa	52.7	20.5	7.2	2.00
7-Jul	32.9	28.7	4.2	2.85
Cluster17/El Grand Continent				
5-Jul	33.6	28.9	4.7	3.35
MOP/El Confidencial				
5-Jul	32.9	28.2	4.7	3.35
SocioMétrica/El Español				
7-Jul	33.5	28.3	5.2	3.85
Sondaxe/La Voz de Galicia				
7-Jul	34.3	28.7	5.6	4.25
ElectoPanel/Electomanía				105
7-Jul	34.5	28.8	5.7	4.35
(eyData /Público	34.7	28.0	6.7	5.35
7-Jul	34.7	28.0	0.7	0.00
nvyMark /laSexta ^{5-Jul}	33.5	26.3	7.2	5.85
Sigma Dos/El Mundo	00.0	20.5	1.2	
7-Jul	35.4	28.0	7.4	6.05
GAD3/ABC	and the second second			
7-Jul	36.9	28.6	8.3	6.95
Target Point/El Debate				
7-Jul	36.4	28.1	8.3	6.95
Data10/OKDiario				
7-Jul	36.0	27.5	8.5	7.15
IC Report /La Razón				
7-Jul	37.2	28.3	8.9	7.55

Geographic Coverage

1. THE AMERICAS

Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Canada, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, El Salvador, Guatemala, Haiti, Honduras, Mexico, Nicaragua, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, United States, Uruguay, Venezuela.

2. EUROPE & MIDDLE EAST

Austria, Belgium, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Bulgaria, Croatia, Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Israel, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey, Ukraine, United Kingdom.

3. AFRICA

Angola, Egypt, Mozambique, Nigeria, South Africa.

4. ASIA & OCEANIA

Australia, Bangladesh, India, Indonesia, Japan, Malaysia, New Zealand, Pakistan, Philippines, Thailand.

AtlasIntel's online data collection solution and sample calibration methodology allows coverage of any country in the Americas and in Europe with more than 3 million inhabitants, provided that its work is not restricted by legal restrictions and Internet blockages. In Africa and Asia, AtlasIntel provides coverage for a group of larger nations; the addition of additional countries can be explored on a case by case basis.

Countries where AtlasIntel has already conducted surveys for other institutional clients are highlighted in the list above.







www.atlasintel.org

info@atlasintel.org